

# **Selected Acquisition Report (SAR)**

RCS: DD-A&T(Q&A)823-198



# F-35 Joint Strike Fighter Aircraft (F-35)

As of FY 2017 President's Budget

Defense Acquisition Management Information Retrieval (DAMIR)

# **Table of Contents**

Common Acronyms and Abbreviations for MDAP Programs	. 3
Program Information	5
Responsible Office	. 5
References	6
Mission and Description	7
Executive Summary	. 8
Threshold Breaches	<u>.</u> 11
Schedule	. 12
Performance	. 16
Track to Budget	_ 20
Cost and Funding	24
Low Rate Initial Production	65
Foreign Military Sales	66
Nuclear Costs	. 66
Jnit Cost	. 67
Cost Variance	73
Contracts	. 81
Deliveries and Expenditures	. 89
Operating and Support Cost	90

## Common Acronyms and Abbreviations for MDAP Programs

Acq O&M - Acquisition-Related Operations and Maintenance

**ACAT - Acquisition Category** 

ADM - Acquisition Decision Memorandum

APB - Acquisition Program Baseline

APPN - Appropriation

APUC - Average Procurement Unit Cost

\$B - Billions of Dollars

BA - Budget Authority/Budget Activity

Blk - Block

BY - Base Year

CAPE - Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation

CARD - Cost Analysis Requirements Description

CDD - Capability Development Document

CLIN - Contract Line Item Number

**CPD - Capability Production Document** 

CY - Calendar Year

**DAB - Defense Acquisition Board** 

DAE - Defense Acquisition Executive

DAMIR - Defense Acquisition Management Information Retrieval

DoD - Department of Defense

**DSN - Defense Switched Network** 

EMD - Engineering and Manufacturing Development

EVM - Earned Value Management

FOC - Full Operational Capability

FMS - Foreign Military Sales

FRP - Full Rate Production

FY - Fiscal Year

FYDP - Future Years Defense Program

ICE - Independent Cost Estimate

IOC - Initial Operational Capability

inc - Increment

JROC - Joint Requirements Oversight Council

\$K - Thousands of Dollars

KPP - Key Performance Parameter

LRIP - Low Rate Initial Production

\$M - Millions of Dollars

MDA - Milestone Decision Authority

MDAP - Major Defense Acquisition Program

MILCON - Military Construction

N/A - Not Applicable

O&M - Operations and Maintenance

ORD - Operational Requirements Document

OSD - Office of the Secretary of Defense

O&S - Operating and Support

PAUC - Program Acquisition Unit Cost

PB - President's Budget

PE - Program Element

PEO - Program Executive Officer

PM - Program Manager

POE - Program Office Estimate

RDT&E - Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation

SAR - Selected Acquisition Report

SCP - Service Cost Position

TBD - To Be Determined

TY - Then Year

**UCR - Unit Cost Reporting** 

U.S. - United States

USD(AT&L) - Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology and Logistics)

## **Program Information**

#### **Program Name**

F-35 Joint Strike Fighter Aircraft (F-35)

#### **DoD Component**

DoD

#### Joint Participants

United States Navy; United States Air Force; United States Marine Corps; United Kingdom; Italy; The Netherlands; Turkey; Canada; Australia; Denmark; Norway

The F-35 Program is a joint DoD program for which Service Acquisition Executive Authority alternates between the Department of the Navy (DoN) and the Department of the Air Force, and currently resides with the DoN.

## Responsible Office

Lt Gen Christopher Bogdan F-35 Lightning II Program Office 200 12th St South Suite 600 Arlington, VA 22202-5402 Phone:

703-601-5602

Fax:

703-602-7649

**DSN Phone:** 

329-5650

**DSN Fax:** 

Date Assigned: December 6, 2012

christopher.bogdan@jsf.mil

#### References

#### F-35 Aircraft

# SAR Baseline (Development Estimate)

Defense Acquisition Executive (DAE) Approved Acquisition Program Baseline (APB) dated March 26, 2012

## Approved APB

Defense Acquisition Executive (DAE) Approved Acquisition Program Baseline (APB) dated June 18, 2014

### F-35 Engine

### SAR Baseline (Development Estimate)

Defense Acquisition Executive (DAE) Approved Acquisition Program Baseline (APB) dated March 26, 2012

### **Approved APB**

Defense Acquisition Executive (DAE) Approved Acquisition Program Baseline (APB) dated June 18, 2014

6

### **Mission and Description**

The F-35 Joint Strike Fighter Aircraft (F-35) Program will develop and field an affordable, highly common family of next-generation strike aircraft for the U.S. Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, and allies. The three variants are the F-35A; F-35B; and the F-35C. The F-35A will be a stealthy multi-role aircraft, primarily air-to-ground, for the Air Force to replace the F-16 and A-10 and complement the F-22. The F-35B variant will be a multi-role strike fighter aircraft to replace the AV-8B and F/A -18A/C/D for the Marine Corps. The F-35C will provide the U.S. Navy a multi-role, stealthy strike fighter aircraft to complement the F/A-18E/F. The planned DoD F-35 Fleet will replace the joint services' legacy fleets. The transition from multiple type/model/series to a common platform will result in a smaller total force over time and operational and overall cost efficiencies.

## **Executive Summary**

The F-35 remains the DoD's largest cooperative acquisition program, with eight International Partners participating with the U.S. under Memorandums of Understanding for System Development and Demonstration (SDD) and Production, Sustainment and Follow-on Development. Additionally, the program currently has three FMS customers. The F-35 program is executing well across the entire spectrum of acquisition, to include development and design, flight test, production, fielding and base stand-up, sustainment of fielded aircraft, and building a global sustainment enterprise.

The program is transitioning from slow and steady progress to a rapidly growing and accelerating Program. However, the Program is not without risks and challenges. The completion of Mission Systems Software development and Autonomic Logistics Information System (ALIS) development are the most prominent, current technical risks. The ability to standup four separate Reprogramming Labs, complete all weapons envelope testing for Block 3F, and start Operational Test (OT) on time, constitute the main schedule risks. Program leadership remains confident that it will deliver the full F-35 capability as promised.

Successes and challenges in 2015: The F-35 Program closed out 2015 by executing the plan for test flights, total test points and baseline test points. The test teams at Edwards Air Force Base (AFB) and Naval Air Station, Patuxent River, MD completed 1374 actual flights (plan: 1286), 9582 total test points (plan: 9427) and 7798 baseline test points (plan: 7786).

The Program also met the production goal for the year by accepting its 45th aircraft delivery. This represented a 25 percent increase from last year's goal that was also met: the most aircraft delivered in one year in program history. These deliveries included the first international delivery from the Italian Final Assembly and Check Out (FACO), and bring the overall operational delivery total to 157 (146 U.S. aircraft as reflected in the Deliveries and Expenditure Section of the SAR, and 11 International Partner Aircraft) as of February 3, 2016. Along with Italy, Norway took its first delivery in 2015. Five partner nations: Australia, Italy, the Netherlands, Norway and the United Kingdom, along with the Air Force, Marine Corps and Navy, now fly the F-35. Israel and Japan will take their first deliveries in 2016.

Block 3i software was released for flight test in May 2015, to support the U.S. Air Force IOC declaration later in 2016. Coding for the final development software block 3F, was completed in June 2015 and the software has been released for flight testing. Additional updates are planned throughout the year with 3F tracking for completion by the end of the SDD in the fall of 2017, to support U.S. Navy IOC in 2018 and the start of Initial Operational Test and Evaluation (IOT&E). Throughout testing, interim software test builds are provided to both the developmental test and operational test teams, allowing them to experience the software as early as possible and provide feedback. As of December 31, 2015, the program completed 80 percent of SDD test points and is on track for completion in the fourth quarter of 2017.

At the completion of the F-35 SDD program, the objective is to deliver full Block 3F capabilities (Mission Systems, Weapons & Flight Envelope) for the Services and International customers. The F-35 program will continue to coordinate closely with the JSF Operational Test Team and Director, Operational Test and Evaluation, on key test planning and priorities to successfully meet significant SDD program milestones and objectives.

Currently, mission systems software and the ALIS system, are the program's top technical risks. Disciplined systems engineering processes, addressing the complexity of writing, testing, and integrating mission systems and ALIS software, have improved the delivery of capability, although challenges remain.

F-35 Total Program Cost:

The BY dollar increase in RDT&E of approximately \$300M is the result of a transfer of money from the Procurement account to the RDT&E account to fund the modification of 24 OT aircraft for IOT&E. This transfer out of Procurement into RDT&E had a zero net effect on Total Program Cost.

The BY dollar reduction in the estimated \$7.5B Procurement Costs through the life of the program was the result of a combination of increases and decreases within the Procurement account. Elements of the account that increased when compared to last year's SAR estimate included increased labor rates, the addition of Electronic Warfare Band 2/5 capability

and updating the quantity profile. Elements in the account that decreased included; updated actuals for aircraft and engine cost, and a change in inflation indices.

The net BY dollar increase in the estimated O&S of \$23B (CAPE) and \$43.3B (Joint Program Office (JPO)) were the result of both increases and decreases within the O&S account, with U.S. Services' changes in aircraft life expectancy and bed down plans overshadowing real reductions in O&S costs.

Business perspective: The price of the F-35 variants continues to decline steadily. For example, the price (including airframe, engine and profit) of an LRIP Lot 8 aircraft was approximately 3.6 percent less than an LRIP Lot 7 aircraft, and an LRIP Lot 7 aircraft, was 4.2 percent lower than an LRIP Lot 6 aircraft. LRIP Lots 9 and 10 contract negotiations are nearing completion and the contract award is anticipated by late spring of this year.

The F-35 JPO is exploring the possibility of entering into a Block Buy Contract, for LRIP Lots 12-14 (FY 2018- FY2020). A block buy would enable the JPO to save a significant amount of money by allowing the contractors to use Economic Order Quantity purchases; enabling manufacturers to maximize production economies of scale, through bulk orders.

Earlier this year, the program reached agreement with Pratt & Whitney on the next two lots of F135 propulsion systems. The LRIP Lots 9 and 10 will continue the price improvements realized on previous lots and the F135 engine is meeting War on Cost commitments. For calendar year 2015, F135 production deliveries met contract requirements. However, recurring manufacturing quality issues continue to hamper consistent engine deliveries. Recent quality escapes on turbine blades and electronic control systems resulted in maintenance activity to remove suspect hardware from the operational fleet. Pratt & Whitney has taken action to improve quality surveillance within their manufacturing processes and program office manufacturing quality experts have engaged to ensure quality improvements are in place to meet production ramp requirements.

Sustainment: Last year the JPO provided information regarding its efforts toward the establishment of the Global Sustainment posture across Europe, Asia-Pacific and North America. In 2015, the F-35's strong international team made marked progress in delivering this capability to F-35 users and the program is on track to standing up regional Maintenance, Repair, Overhaul, and Upgrade (MRO&U) capabilities for airframes and engines, for both the European and Pacific Regions. These initial MRO&U assignments will support near-term F-35 airframe and engine overseas operations and maintenance and will be reviewed and updated approximately every five years.

In the European region, Italy will provide F-35 initial airframe MRO&U capability in 2018. Also in 2018, engine heavy maintenance in the European region will initially be provided by Turkey. The Netherlands and Norway will provide additional engine heavy maintenance approximately two-to-three years after Turkey's initial capability.

In the Pacific region, F-35 airframe MRO&U capability will be provided by Japan for the Northern Pacific and in the Southern Pacific by Australia, with both capabilities required by early 2018. For F-35 engine heavy maintenance in the Pacific, the initial capability will be provided by Australia by early 2018, with Japan providing additional capability five-to-seven years later.

In 2015, the program also kicked-off initial planning efforts for expansion of component repair into the European and Pacific regions. Working through the F-35 Regional Capabilities Working Group forum, efforts began to identify 'best value' repair sources in each region for approximately 18 key depot-level repairable items. Early program analysis indicates that grouping certain components by common repair, test set and skills, can yield life cycle cost savings. Partners and their industries will be requested to propose groupings, that leverage their strongest industrial competencies, to deliver optimum repair capability at best cost to the global sustainment solution.

International and FMS: International participation in the program remains strong and the program is now training International Partner pilots at Luke AFB.

In 2015, the first Royal Norwegian Air Force, F-35 rolled out and had its first flight from the Fort Worth Texas assembly facility. The first Italian Air Force, F-35A rolled out of the production facility in Cameri Italy, and a few months later completed the first F-35 transatlantic flight, landing at Naval Air Station, Patuxent River, Maryland. Additionally, the program began F-35A aerial refueling flight testing with a Royal Australian Air Force KC-30A tanker and completed F-35A aerial refueling flight testing with an Italian Air Force KC-767 tanker.

Initial site planning for the standup of maintenance capabilities in Norway, the Netherlands, Turkey, United Kingdom, Israel, Japan and Korea commenced in 2015. The Japanese FACO assembly facility is now complete with both Electronic Mate Assembly Stations, tools installed and accepted and the first Japanese F-35A is scheduled to rollout of the facility in November 2016. Finally, construction and installation activities remain on schedule, with major components currently being shipped.

In March 2012, in conjunction with the Milestone B decision, certification was made pursuant to section 2366b of title 10, United States Code (U.S.C.). However, at that time, the MDA waived provision (3)(C) (now 3(G)), which certifies that the JROC has accomplished its duties pursuant to section 181(b) of title 10, U.S.C., including an analysis of the operational requirements for the program. The JROC accomplished the bulk of its duties under section 181(b); however, because at that time, the IOC dates remained "TBD" by the Services, a waiver had been in place. In June 2013, the Services sent a joint report to the U.S. Congress detailing their IOC requirements and dates. On April 22, 2015, the USD(AT&L) certified that this provision has been satisfied because IOC Objective and Threshold dates for each of the three Services, Air Force, Marine Corps, and Navy, have now been established.

## **Threshold Breaches**

### F-35 Aircraft

APB Breach	ies	=4,-19
Schedule		
Performanc	e	
Cost	RDT&E	
	Procurement	
	MILCON	
	Acq O&M	
<b>O&amp;S Cost</b>		
<b>Unit Cost</b>	# PAUC	
	APUC	
Nunn-McCu	rdy Breaches	
Current UC	R Baseline	57.460.0
	PAUC	None
	APUC	None
<b>Original UC</b>	R Baseline	
	PAUC	None
	APUC	None

#### F-35 Engine

APB Breaches						
Schedule						
Performance						
Cost	RDT&E					
	Procurement					
	MILCON					
	Acq O&M					
O&S Cost						
Unit Cost	PAUC					
	APUC					

# Nunn-McCurdy Breaches

**Current UCR Baseline** 


PAUC None

APUC None

Original UCR Baseline

PAUC None APUC None

### **Schedule**



## F-35 Aircraft

I Architecture at the control of the	Schedule Events			
Events	SAR Baseline Development Estimate	Curr Deve Objectiv	Current Estimate	
Concept Demonstration Contract Award	Nov 1996	Nov 1996	Nov 1996	Nov 1996
Milestone B	Oct 2001	Oct 2001	Apr 2002	Oct 2001
EMD Contract Award	Oct 2001	Oct 2001	Oct 2001	Oct 2001
Preliminary Design Review	Apr 2003	Mar 2003	Mar 2003	Mar 2003
CDR			2000	
CDR (CTOL&Common)	Feb 2006	Feb 2006	Feb 2006	Feb 2006
CDR (STOVL&Common)	Feb 2006	Feb 2006	Feb 2006	Feb 2006
CDR (CV&Common)	Jun 2007	Jun 2007	Jun 2007	Jun 2007
DAE (IPR 1)	Mar 2006	Mar 2006	Mar 2006	Mar 2006
1st Flt CTOL	Dec 2006	Dec 2006	Dec 2006	Dec 2006
DAE (IPR 2)	Apr 2007	Apr 2007	Apr 2007	Apr 2007
1st Flt STOVL	Jun 2008	Jun 2008	Jun 2008	Jun 2008
1st Fit CV	Jun 2010	May 2010	May 2010	May 2010
1st Production Aircraft Delivered	May 2011	May 2011	May 2011	May 2011
Milestone B Re-approval	Mar 2012	Nov 2011	May 2012	Mar 2012
Block 2B Fleet Release	Mar 2015	Mar 2015	Sep 2015	Jun 2015
USMC IOC	TBD	Jul 2015	Dec 2015	Jul 2015
USAF IOC	TBD	Aug 2016	Dec 2016	Aug 2016
Block 3F Fleet Release	Aug 2017	Aug 2017	Feb 2018	Aug 2017
USN IOC	TBD	Aug 2018	Feb 2019	Aug 2018
Completed IOT&E	Feb 2019	Feb 2019	Aug 2019	Feb 2019
Full Rate Production Decision	Apr 2019	Apr 2019	Oct 2019	Apr 2019
DAB Milestone C	Apr 2019	Apr 2019	Oct 2019	Apr 2019

# Change Explanations

None

## **Acronyms and Abbreviations**

CDR - Critical Design Review
CTOL - Conventional Takeoff and Landing
CV - Aircraft Carrier Suitable Variant
Flt - Flight
IOT&E - Initial Operational Test and Evaluation
IPR - Interim Progress Review
STOVL - Short Takeoff and Vertical Landing
USAF - United States Air Force
USMC - United States Marine Corps
USN - United States Navy

### F-35 Engine

	Schedule Events	A CONTRACTOR OF THE		
Events	SAR Baseline Development Estimate	De	urrent APB evelopment tive/Threshold	Current Estimate
See Note	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

## **Change Explanations**

None

## Notes

Schedule milestones for the F-35 Engine subprogram are captured as part of the system-level schedule milestones reflected in the F-35 Aircraft subprogram.

# **Performance**

## F-35 Aircraft

	Performance	Characteristics		
SAR Baseline Development Estimate		nt APB opment Threshold	Demonstrated Performance	Current Estimate
STOVL Mission Performa	ance - STO Distance Flat	Deck		And the second s
With four 1000# JDAMs and two internal AIM-120s, full expendables, execute a 600 foot (450 UK STOVL) STO from LHA, LHD, and aircraft carriers (sea level, tropical day, 10 kts operational WOD) and with a combat radius of 550 nm (STOVL profile). Also must perform STOVL vertical landing with two 1000# JDAMs and two internal AIM-120s, full expendables, and fuel to fly the STOVL Recovery profile.	120s, full expendables, execute a 600 foot (450 UK STOVL) STO from LHA, LHD, and aircraft carriers (sea level, tropical day, 10 kts operational WOD) and with a combat radius of 550 nm (STOVL profile). Also must perform STOVL vertical landing with two 1000# JDAMs and two internal AIM-120s, full expendables, and fuel to fly the STOVL Recovery profile.	With two 1000# JDAMs and two internal AIM- 120s, full expendables, execute a 600 foot (450 UK STOVL) STO from LHA, LHD, and aircraft carriers (sea level, tropical day, 10 kts operational WOD) and with a combat radius of 450 nm (STOVL profile). Also must perform STOVL vertical landing with two 1000# JDAMs and two internal AIM- 120s, full expendables, and fuel to fly the STOVL Recovery profile.	TBD	Execute 558 ft. STO with 2 JDAM (internal), 2 AIM-120 (internal), fuel to fly 467nm
Combat Radius NM -CTO				005
690	690	590	TBD	625
Combat Radius NM -STO 550	550	450	TBD	467
Combat Radius NM -CV V		450	TOO	407
730	730	600	TBD	630
Mission Reliability - CTO	and the second s		X =5/45/24	
98%	98%	93%	TBD	97%
Mission Reliability - CV V	ariant			
98%	98%	95%	TBD	98%
Mission Reliability - STO	VL Variant	The state of the s		
98%	98%	95%	TBD	98%
Logistics Footprint - CTC	OL Variant			
Less than or equal to 6 C- 17 equivalents	Less than or equal to 6 C -17 equivalents	Less than or equal to 8 C -17 equivalent loads	TBD	Less than or equal to 8 C-17 equivalents

Logistics Footprint - CV	Variant				
Less than or equal to 34,000 cu ft., 183 ST	Less than or equal to 34,000 cu ft., 183 ST	Less than or equal to 46,000 cu ft., 243 ST	TBD	Less than or equal to 38,800 cu ft., 217 ST	(Ch
Logistics Footprint - STC	VL Variant				
Less than or equal to 4 C- 17 equivalents	Less than or equal to 4 C -17 equivalents	Less than or equal to 8 C -17 equivalent loads	TBD	Less than or equal to 7 C- 17 equivalents	(Ch-
<b>Logistics Footprint - STC</b>	VL Variant L-Class				
Less than or equal to 15,000 cu ft, 104 ST	Less than or equal to 15,000 cu ft, 104 ST	Less than or equal to 21,000 cu ft, 136 ST	TBD	Less than or equal to 17,400 cu ft, 100 ST	(Ch-
Sortie Generation Rates	- CTOL Variant				
4.0/3.0/2.0 2.5 ASD	4.0/3.0/2.0 2.5 ASD	3.0/2.0/1.0 2.5 ASD	TBD	3.4/3.0/2.0 2.5 ASD	(Ch-
Sortie Generation Rates	- CV Variant				
4.0/3.0/1.0 1.8 ASD	4.0/3.0/1.0 1.8 ASD	3.0/2.0/1.0 1.8 ASD	TBD	3.0/3.0/1.0 1.8 ASD	(Ch-
Sortie Generation Rates	- STOVL Variant (USMC)				
6.0/4.0/2.0 1.1 ASD	6.0/4.0/2.0 1.1 ASD	4.0/3.0/1.0 1.1 ASD	TBD	5.2/4.0/2.0 1.1 ASD	(Ch-
CV Recovery Performand	ce (Vpa)				
Vpa. Maximum approach speed (Vpa) at required carrier landing weight (RCLW) of less than 140 knots.	Vpa at required carrier landing weight (RCLW) of less than 140 knots.	Vpa at required carrier landing weight (RCLW) of less than 145 knots.	TBD	Vpa. Maximum approach speed (Vpa) at required carrier landing weight (RCLW) of less than 144 knots.	

Classified Performance information is provided in the classified annex to this submission.

## Requirements Reference

Operational Requirements Document (ORD) Change 3 dated August 19, 2008 as modified by Joint Requirements Oversight Council Memorandum 040-12 dated March 16, 2012

#### **Change Explanations**

(Ch-1) Performance characteristic current estimate updated to reflect a change in the Combat Radius and STOVL Mission Performance characteristics. Fuel use margin was reduced from 4% to 3% based on refined understanding of drag and installed propulsion performance. Program anticipates further margin reduction and concomitant performance improvements as flight test draws to a conclusion over the next 18 months.

(Ch-2) The PEO completed the initial portion of a more comprehensive and accurate assessment of the SGR and Log Footprint KPPs. This update more accurately reflects the capability of the design when operated in accordance with current fleet policies and procedures. This differs from the prior, contractual analysis by capturing the demonstrated and predicted performance of the air system design (vice modeled) and adding operationally representative fleet policies and procedures (in the SGR case).

#### Notes

The F-35 Program is currently in developmental testing, and will provide demonstrated performance with the Block 3F full capability aircraft.

### Acronyms and Abbreviations

ASD - Average Sortie Duration

CTOL - Conventional Takeoff and Landing

CU FT - Cubic Feet

CV - Aircraft Carrier Suitable Variant

JDAM - Joint Direct Attack Munitions

KTS - Knots

NM - Nautical Miles

RCLW - Required Carrier Landing Weight

SGR - Sortie Generation Rate

ST - Short Tons

STO - Short Takeoff

STOVL - Short Takeoff and Vertical Landing

Vpa - Max Approach Speed

WOD - Wind Over the Deck

### F-35 Engine

		Performance Char	acteristics	immer i i i	
SAR Baseline Development Estimate		Current APB Development ective/Threshold	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	onstrated formance	Current Estimate
See Note		THE RESERVE AND LOCATION OF THE PARTY.		hui zunik	
N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD		N/A

### Requirements Reference

Operational Requirements Document (ORD) Change 3 dated August 19, 2008 as modified by Joint Requirements Oversight Council Memorandum 040-12 dated March 16, 2012

### **Change Explanations**

None

#### Notes

Performance characteristics for the F-35 Engine subprogram are captured as part of the system-level performance characteristics reflected in the F-35 Aircraft subprogram.

December 2015 SAR

## **Track to Budget**

#### F-35 Aircraft

#### **General Notes**

F-35 is DoD's largest cooperative development program. In addition to DoD's funding lines, eight International Partners are providing funding in the System Development and Demonstration (SDD) Phase under a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU): United Kingdom, Italy, The Netherlands, Turkey, Canada, Australia, Denmark, and Norway. All but Turkey and Australia were partners in the prior phase. Associated financial contributions are reflected in the Annual Funding section as Appropriation 9999, RDT&E Non-Treasury Funds. RDT&E cost excludes Follow-on Modernization Funding: F-35A/B/C Follow-on Development (FOD); F-35B/C Sustainment/Capability Enhancements; F-35A Deployability and Suitability Enhancements; and F-35A Dual Capable Aircraft Enhancements.

RDT&E			The second secon	
Аррп	(III)	ВА	PE	
Navy	1319	04	0603800N	2.5
	Proj	ect	Name	
	2209		RDT&E, Navy CDP	(Sunk)
Navy	1319	05	0604800M	_
	Proj	ect	Name	
	2262	_	Joint Strike Fighter - EMD	<u> </u>
Navy	1319	05	0604800N	_
	Proj	ect	Name	
	2261 3194		JT Strike Fighter - EMD RDT&E, Navy EMD/Joint Reprogramming	(Sunk)
			Center	
Air Force	3600	04	0603800F	
	Project		Name	
	2025		RDT&E, Air Force CDP	(Sunk)
Air Force	3600	05	0604800F	
	Pro	ject	Name	<b>.</b>
	3831		F-35 - EMD	
Defense-Wide	Name and Address of the Owner, where the Owner, which is the Own	03	0603800E	
	Pro	ject	Name	
			RDT&E, DARPA	(Sunk)
Procurement				
Appn		ВА	PE	
Navy	1506	01	0204146N	
	Line	ltem	Name	446
	0147		Joint Strike Fighter CV	
Navy	1506	01	0204146M	
	Line	ltem	Name	
	-		- 1	60

				College Colleg	
	0152		JSF STOVL		
Navy	1506	05	0204146M		<del></del>
	Line	ltem	Name	( a 2 14)	
	0592		F-35 STOVL Series	110 12-119041	
Navy	1506	05	0204146N		
-	Line	ltem	Name		
	0593		F-35 CV Series		
Navy	1506	06	0204146M	ALL DESCRIPTIONS OF THE STATE O	
-	Line	ltem	Name	ICA CONTRACTOR	
	0605	_	Spares and Repair Parts	(Shared)	
Navy	1506	06	0204146N		
-	Line	ltem	Name	A 100 TO	
	0605		Spares and Repair Parts	(Shared)	
Air Force	3010	06	0207142F		· -
	Line	ltem	Name	Tariff III	
	000999	9	Initial Spares/Repair Parts	(Shared)	
Air Force	3010	01	0207142F		
	Line	ltem	Name	A 1 ( 1 ( 1 ( 1 ( 1 ( 1 ( 1 ( 1 ( 1 ( 1	
	ATA00	0	F-35		
Air Force	3010	O.E.	0207142F		
7111 1 0100	3010	05	UZU1 14ZF		
All Force	Line	NAME OF BRIDE	Name		
All Force	STREET, SQUARE, SQUARE	ltem			
IILCON	Line	ltem	Name		WER WIL
IILCON	Line F0350	ltem 0	F-35 Modifications		
IILCON Appn	Line F0350	ltem 0 BA	F-35 Modifications PE		
IILCON	Line F03500	ltem 0 BA 01	F-35 Modifications  PE 0212576N		
IILCON Appn	Line F0350	ltem 0 BA 01	PE 0212576N Name	(Charad)	3
Appn Navy	1205 Pro	BA 01	PE 0212576N Name MILCON, USN	(Shared)	
IILCON Appn	1205 Pro	BA 01 ject	PE 0212576N Name MILCON, USN 0216496M	(Shared)	ă
Appn Navy	1205 Pro	BA 01 ject	PE 0212576N Name MILCON, USN 0216496M Name		
Appn Navy Navy	1205 Pro	BA 01 ject	PE 0212576N Name MILCON, USN 0216496M Name MILCON, USN	(Shared)	Ži.
Appn Navy	1205 Pro 1205 1205	BA 01 ject 01	PE 0212576N Name MILCON, USN 0216496M Name MILCON, USN 0816376N		
Appn Navy Navy	1205 Pro	BA 01 ject 01	PE 0212576N Name MILCON, USN 0216496M Name MILCON, USN 0816376N Name	(Shared)	A-
Appn Navy Navy	1205 Pro 1205 Pro	BA 01 ject 01 ject	PE 0212576N Name MILCON, USN 0216496M Name MILCON, USN 0816376N Name MILCON, USN		*
Appn Navy Navy	1205 Pro 1205 Pro 3300	BA 01 ject 01 ject 01	PE 0212576N Name MILCON, USN 0216496M Name MILCON, USN 0816376N Name MILCON, USN 0816376N Name	(Shared)	A
Appn Navy Navy	1205 Pro 1205 Pro	BA 01 ject 01 ject 01	PE 0212576N Name MILCON, USN 0216496M Name MILCON, USN 0816376N Name MILCON, USN 0816376N Name MILCON, USN Name	(Shared) (Shared) (Sunk)	3
Appn Navy Navy Navy	1205 Pro 1205 Pro 3300 Pro	BA 01 ject 01 ject 01 ject	PE 0212576N Name MILCON, USN 0216496M Name MILCON, USN 0816376N Name MILCON, USN 0816376N Name MILCON, USN Name MILCON, USN	(Shared)	A A
Appn Navy Navy	1205 Pro 1205 Pro 3300 Pro	BA 01 ject 01 ject 01 ject 01	Name     F-35 Modifications     PE	(Shared) (Shared) (Sunk)	A A
Appn Navy Navy Navy	1205 Pro 1205 Pro 3300 Pro	BA 01 ject 01 ject 01 ject 01	Name   F-35 Modifications   PE	(Shared) (Shared) (Sunk) (Shared)	
Navy Navy Air Force Air Force	1205 Pro 1205 Pro 3300 Pro	BA 01 ject 01 ject 01 ject 01 ject	PE 0212576N Name MILCON, USN 0216496M Name MILCON, USN 0816376N Name MILCON, USN 0052635F Name MILCON, AF 0207142F Name	(Shared) (Shared) (Sunk)	
Appn Navy Navy Navy	1205 Pro 1205 Pro 3300 Pro 3300 Pro 3300	BA 01 ject 01 ject 01 ject 01 ject 01	Name   F-35 Modifications   F-35 Modifications   PE	(Shared) (Shared) (Sunk) (Shared)	
Navy Navy Air Force Air Force	1205 Pro 1205 Pro 3300 Pro	BA 01 ject 01 ject 01 ject 01 ject 01	PE 0212576N Name MILCON, USN 0216496M Name MILCON, USN 0816376N Name MILCON, USN 0052635F Name MILCON, AF 0207142F Name	(Shared) (Shared) (Sunk) (Shared)	

#### F-35 Engine

#### **General Notes**

F-35 is DoD's largest cooperative development program. In addition to DoD's funding lines, eight International Partners are providing funding in the System Development and Demonstration (SDD) Phase under a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU): United Kingdom, Italy, The Netherlands, Turkey, Canada, Australia, Denmark, and Norway. All but Turkey and Australia were partners in the prior phase. Associated financial contributions are reflected in the Annual Funding section as Appropriation 9999, RDT&E Non-Treasury Funds. RDT&E cost excludes Follow-on Modernization funding: F-35A/B/C Follow-on Development (FOD); F-35B/C Sustainment/Capability Enhancements; F-35A Deployability and Suitability Enhancements; and F-35A Dual Capable Aircraft Enhancements.

RDT&E	n iliyi			
Appn	11 70	ВА	PE	
Navy	1319	04	0603800N	
	Pro	ject	Name	
	2209		RDT&E, Navy CDP	(Sunk)
Navy	1319	05	0604800M	
	Pro	ject	Name	
	2262		RDT&E, Marine Corps	
Navy	1319	05	0604800N	
	Pro	ject	Name	
	2261		RDT&E, Navy EMD/JSF	
	3194		RDT&E, Navy EMD/Joint Reprogramming	(Sunk)
	9999		Center RDT&E, Navy EMD/Congressional Adds	(Sunk)
Air Force	3600	04	0603800F	(Sulik)
All I olde	_	ject	Name	
	2025		RDT&E, Air Force CDP	(Sunk)
Air Force	3600	05	0604800F	(Odini)
		ject	Name	
	3831		RDT&E, Air Force EMD/Joint Strike Fighter Quantity of RDT&E Articles	
Defense-Wide	0400	03	0603800E	• •
	Pro	ject	Name	
			RDT&E, DARPA	(Sunk)
Procurement				
Appn		ВА	PE	
Navy	1506	01	0204146N	
-	Line	Item	Name	
	0147		JSF (Navy)	<u> </u>
Navy	1506	01	0204146M	
	Line	Item	Name	
	0152		JSF (Marine Corps)	

Navy	1506 06	0204146M		
	Line Item	Name		
	0605	Initial Spares (Marine Corps)	(Shared)	
Navy	1506 06	0204146N	alumic	_
	Line Item	Name		
	0605	Initial Spares (Navy)	(Shared)	
Air Force	3010 06	0207142F		_
	Line Item	Name	100 - CO 100	
	000999	Initial Spares (Air Force)	(Shared)	
Air Force	3010 01	0207142F		_
	Line Item	Name	W. P. T. Bu of	
	ATA000	JSF (Air Force)		
Air Force	3010 05	0207142F		_
	Line Item	Name		
	F03500	Mods (Air Force)		

# Cost and Funding

# **Cost Summary - Total Program**

THE RESERVE TO		Total Acquis	sition Co	st - Total Progr	am		
	В	/ 2012 \$M		BY 2012 \$M		IVE ST	
Appropriation	SAR Baseline Development Estimate	Current Al Developm Objective/Thr	ent	Current Estimate	SAR Baseline Development Estimate	Current APB Development Objective	Current Estimate
RDT&E	59677.3	59398.1		59491.2	55233.8	55182.9	55133.0
Procurement	266665.8	266665.8		249667.8	335680.7	335680.7	319115.7
Flyaway				222668.7			286951.9
Recurring		11 ***		197002.0			255294.7
Non Recurring		***		25666.7			31657.2
Support			·	26999.1			32163.8
Other Support		-	-	16223.6			19225.3
Initial Spares		-		10775.5			12938.5
MILCON	4168.0	4168.0		4101.4	4797.3	4797.3	4793.3
Acq O&M	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	330511.1	330231.9	N/A	313260.4	395711.8	395660.9	379042.0

## **Cost and Funding**

## Cost Summary - F-35 Aircraft

		Tiotal Ac	quisition Co	ost - F-35 Aircr	aft			
	B	/ 2012 \$M	E STATE	BY 2012 \$M	TY \$M			
Appropriation	SAR Baseline Development Estimate	Current Develor Objective/T	oment	Current Estimate	SAR Baseline Development Estimate	Current APB Development Objective	Current Estimate	
RDT&E	47982.1	46457.5	51103.3	46368.6	44410.1	43360.7	43170.1	
Procurement	224332.9	224332.9	246766.2	211290.9	282647.8	282647.8	270427.5	
Flyaway				189120.8			244057.7	
Recurring				166222.4			215735.2	
Non Recurring				22898.4			28322.5	
Support				22170.1			26369.8	
Other Support				14549.0			17248.4	
Initial Spares				7621.1			9121.4	
MILCON	4168.0	4168.0	4584.8	4101.4	4797.3	4797.3	4793.3	
Acq O&M	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total	276483.0	274958.4	N/A	261760.9	331855.2	330805.8	318390.9	

#### **Current APB Cost Estimate Reference**

Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation (CAPE) Independent Cost Estimate (ICE) dated March 09, 2012

#### **Confidence Level**

Confidence Level of cost estimate for current APB: 50%

This estimate, like all previous Cost Analysis Improvement Group (CAIG) and Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation (CAPE) estimates, is built upon a product-oriented work breakdown structure; is based on historical actual cost information to the maximum extent possible; and, most importantly, is based on conservative assumptions that are consistent with actual demonstrated contractor and government performance for a series of acquisition programs in which the Department has been successful.

It is difficult to calculate mathematically the precise confidence levels associated with life-cycle cost estimates prepared for Major Defense Acquisition program (MDAPs). Based on the rigor in methods used in building estimates, the strong adherence to the collection and use of historical cost information, and the review of applied assumptions, we project that it is about equally likely that the estimates will prove too low or too high for execution of the program described.

	Total Quant	ity - F-35 Aircraft	
Quantity	SAR Baseline Development Estimate	Current APB Development	Current Estimate
RDT&E	14	14	14
Procurement	2443	2443	2443
Total	2457	2457	2457

## Cost Summary - F-35 Engine

		Total .	Acquisition Co	ost - F-35 Engi	ne			
1.5 15 0.81		BY 2012 \$M	1000	BY 2012 \$M	TY \$M			
Appropriation	SAR Baseline Developmen Estimate	t Develo	nt APB opment /Threshold	Current Estimate	SAR Baseline Development Estimate	Current APB Development Objective	Current Estimate	
RDT&E	11695.	2 12940.6	14234.7	13122.6	10823.7	11822.2	11962.9	
Procurement	42332.	9 42332.9	46566.2	38376.9	53032.9	53032.9	48688.2	
Flyaway	•	<u>.                                    </u>	-	33547.9		III.E	42894.2	
Recurring			II	30779.6			39559.5	
Non Recurring				2768.3			3334.7	
Support				4829.0			5794.0	
Other Support				1674.6			1976.9	
Initial Spares				3154.4			3817.1	
MILCON	0.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Acq O&M	0.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total	54028.	1 55273.5	N/A	51499.5	63856.6	64855.1	60651.1	

#### **Current APB Cost Estimate Reference**

Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation (CAPE) Independent Cost Estimate (ICE) dated March 09, 2012

### Confidence Level

Confidence Level of cost estimate for current APB: 50%

This estimate, like all previous Cost Analysis Improvement Group (CAIG) and Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation (CAPE) estimates, is built upon a product-oriented work breakdown structure; is based on historical actual cost information to the maximum extent possible; and, most importantly, is based on conservative assumptions that are consistent with actual demonstrated contractor and government performance for a series of acquisition programs in which the Department has been successful.

It is difficult to calculate mathematically the precise confidence levels associated with life-cycle cost estimates prepared for Major Defense Acquisition program (MDAPs). Based on the rigor in methods used in building estimates, the strong adherence to the collection and use of historical cost information, and the review of applied assumptions, we project that it is about equally likely that the estimates will prove too low or too high for execution of the program described.

	Total Quant	ity - F-35 Engine	
Quantity	SAR Baseline Development Estimate	Current APB Development	Current Estimate
RDT&E	14	14	14
Procurement	2443	2443	2443
Total	2457	2457	2457

# **Cost and Funding**

# **Funding Summary - Total Program**

	on line		App	ropriation S	ummary		Y-COMMON TO SERVICE STATE OF THE SERVICE STATE OF T	13/11/20			
	FY 2017 President's Budget / December 2015 SAR (TY\$ M)										
Appropriation	Prior	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	To Complete	Total		
RDT&E	51881.1	1506.2	1436.9	273.3	14.9	11.2	9.4	0.0	55133.0		
Procurement	46173.0	9876.8	8703.0	10593.3	10402.1	11278.6	13107.0	208981.9	319115.7		
MILCON	1489.6	292.7	571.7	165.7	183.5	135.7	116.2	1838.2	4793.3		
Acq O&M	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
PB 2017 Total	99543,7	11675.7	10711.6	11032.3	10600.5	11425.5	13232.6	210820.1	379042.0		
PB 2016 Total	99532.9	10959.5	11586.7	12093.5	12034.6	11906.9	12358.6	220662.0	391134.7		
Delta	10.8	716.2	-875.1	-1061.2	-1434.1	-481.4	874.0	-9841.9	-12092.7		

# **Cost and Funding**

# Funding Summary - F-35 Aircraft

		الوادثي	App	ropriation S	иттагу		- 200		<u> </u>			
	FY 2017 President's Budget / December 2015 SAR (TY\$ M)											
Appropriation Prior FY 2016 FY 2017 FY 2018 FY 2019 FY 2020 FY 2021 To Complete												
RDT&E	40174.4	1306.6	1380.3	273.3	14.9	11.2	9.4	0.0	43170.1			
Procurement	39405.5	8625.9	7194.4	8784.0	8627.7	9366.7	10866.2	177557.1	270427.5			
MILCON	1489.6	292.7	571.7	165.7	183.5	135.7	116.2	1838.2	4793.3			
Acq O&M	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
PB 2017 Total	81069.5	10225.2	9146.4	9223.0	8826.1	9513.6	10991.8	179395.3	318390.9			
PB 2016 Total	B 2016 Total 81040.1 9508.6 10072.4 10305.4 10076.7 9893.0 10216.9 183008.2 324121.3											
Delta	29.4	716.6	-926.0	-1082.4	-1250.6	-379.4	774.9	-3612.9	-5730.4			

			Qu	antity Su	mmary						
	FY 2017 President's Budget / December 2015 SAR (TY\$ M)										
Quantity Undistributed Prior FY FY FY FY FY To Total										Total	
Development	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	
Production	0	217	68	63	70	80	86	105	1754	2443	
PB 2017 Total	14	217	68	63	70	80	86	105	1754	2457	
PB 2016 Total	14	217	57	66	88	90	92	100	1733	2457	
Delta	0	0	11	-3	-18	-10	-6	5	21	0	

# Funding Summary - F-35 Engine

	Appropriation Summary											
	F	Y 2017 Pre	sident's B	udget / Dec	cember 20	15 SAR (T	/\$ M)					
Appropriation	Prior	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	To Complete	Total			
RDT&E	11706.7	199.6	56.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11962.9			
Procurement	6767.5	1250.9	1508.6	1809.3	1774.4	1911.9	2240.8	31424.8	48688.2			
MILCON	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Acq O&M	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
PB 2017 Total	18474.2	1450.5	1565.2	1809.3	1774.4	1911.9	2240.8	31424.8	60651.1			
PB 2016 Total	18492.8	1450.9	1514.3	1788.1	1957.9	2013.9	2141.7	37653.8	67013.4			
Delta	-18.6	-0.4	50.9	21.2	-183.5	-102.0	99.1	-6229.0	-6362.3			

10 - 50x11 No. 1 2 -			Qu	antity Su	mmary					
100	FY 2017 President's Budget / December 2015 SAR (TY\$ M)									
Quantity	Undistributed	Prior	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	To Complete	Total
Development	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
Production	0	217	68	63	70	80	86	105	1754	2443
PB 2017 Total	14	217	68	63	70	80	86	105	1754	2457
PB 2016 Total	14	217	57	66	88	90	92	100	1733	2457
Delta	0	0	11	-3	-18	-10	-6	5	21	0

# **Cost and Funding**

# **Annual Funding By Appropriation - F-35 Aircraft**

	0400	RDT&E   Resear	Annual Funding rch, Development	- F-35 Aircraft t, Test, and Evalu	ation, Defens	e-Wide	
				TY \$M			
Fiscal Year	Quantity	End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non Recurring Flyaway	Total Flyaway	Total Support	Total Program
1996							23.2
1997							54.8
1998							16.9
Subtotal							94.9

	0400	RDT&E   Rese	Annual Funding earch, Developmen	- F-35 Aircraft t, Test, and Evalu	ation, Defens	e-Wide	
		TEN YEAR	weeks to make	BY 2012 \$	M		
Fiscal Year	Quantity	End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non Recurring Flyaway	Total Flyaway	Total Support	Total Program
1996						-	30.1
1997		100					70.2
1998		6	dlb			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	21.5
Subtotal		1 1					121.8

Annual Funding - F-35 Aircraft 3600   RDT&E   Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Air Force									
THE PERSON NAMED IN		TY \$M							
Fiscal Year	Quantity	End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non Recurring Flyaway	Total Flyaway	Total Support	Total Program		
1995							67.5		
1996							65.4		
1997							202.3		
1998							357.2		
1999							366.5		
2000							200.3		
2001							274.3		
2002							302.6		
2003			••				1210.1		
2004							1584.1		
2005	tio ins						1465.8		
2006							1678.6		
2007							1632.4		
2008		••		2			1359.0		
2009							1197.5		
2010							1567.4		
2011		***					715.4		
2012		-					1262.2		
2013						<del>~~</del>	972.1		
2014							553.6		
2015							479.8		
2016							457.1		
2017							389.0		
2018							113.6		
2019							5.3		
2020							5.4		
2021		0					5.5		
Subtotal	5						18490.0		

Annual Funding - F-35 Aircraft 3600   RDT&E   Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Air Force									
11 11 81124	III THE STREET	BY 2012 \$M							
Fiscal Year	Quantity	End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non Recurring Flyaway	Total Flyaway	Total Support	Total Program		
1995							89.1		
1996				••			84.9		
1997					77		259.5		
1998				**			454.5		
1999			2-		-		460.9		
2000							248.3		
2001							335.4		
2002				-			366.3		
2003							1443.6		
2004							1838.4		
2005	(V 75)						1657.5		
2006							1840.8		
2007		22					1747.3		
2008							1428.6		
2009				-			1242.9		
2010							1602.8		
2011							714.5		
2012							1240.0		
2013							945.1		
2014							530.7		
2015							454.2		
2016							425.8		
2017							355.9		
2018							101.9		
2019							4.7		
2020							4.7		
2021		••		••			4.7		
Subtotal	5	••		•-			19883.0		

Annual Funding - F-35 Aircraft 1319   RDT&E   Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Navy								
TY \$M								
Fiscal Year	Quantity	End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non Recurring Flyaway	Total Flyaway	Total Support	Total Program	
1994							23.7	
1995							78.7	
1996							64.6	
1997							195.6	
1998						***	360.4	
1999							378.9	
2000							191.7	
2001							274.3	
2002				••			366.8	
2003						<del></del>	1090.1	
2004							1548.2	
2005							1510.3	
2006							1657.3	
2007							1470.7	
2008							1285.0	
2009	~~				-		1271.2	
2010			***				1440.5	
2011							987.9	
2012		••					960.1	
2013				***			1081.5	
2014							683.6	
2015							773.2	
2016							832.5	
2017							969.3	
2018							132.2	
2019		••	••				9.6	
2020							5.8	
2021		**					3.9	
Subtotal	9						19647.6	

Annual Funding - F-35 Aircraft 1319   RDT&E   Research, Development, Tjest, and Evaluation, Navy							
The first	BY 2012 \$M						
Fiscal Year	Quantity	End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non Recurring Flyaway	Total Flyaway	Total Support	Total Program
1994	<u></u>						31.9
1995							103.9
1996							83.9
1997						**	250.9
1998							458.6
1999							476.5
2000		***					237.6
2001					1		335.4
2002	_						444.0
2003							1300.4
2004							1796.8
2005							1707.8
2006	_						1817.4
2007							1574.3
2008							1350.8
2009		1 22					1319.4
2010						22	1473.0
2011							986.6
2012					-		943.2
2013	44						1051.5
2014							655.3
2015					-		731.9
2016					**		775.5
2017							886.7
2018		-					118.6
2019							8.4
2020							5.0
2021							3.3
Subtotal	9	==					20928.6

	Annual Funding - F-35 Aircraft 9999   RDT&E   Non Treasury Funds							
			TY \$M					
2000	Fiscal Year	Quantity	End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non Recurring Flyaway	Total Flyaway	Total Support	Total Program
	1996			••				11.3
	1997							67.1
	1998							72.1
	1999							49.0
	2000							25.2
	2001							9.5
	2002							255.8
	2003							298.7
	2004							486.7
	2005							734.8
	2006						***	801.3
	2007							635.4
	2008							574.0
	2009		••					236.0
	2010							133.2
	2011							171.3
	2012			dir tex				124.2
	2013							148.5
	2014				**			22.0
	2015							15.0
	2016		••	***			-10	17.0
	2017							22.0
_	2018							27.5
	Subtotal							4937.6

Annual Funding - F-35 Aircraft 9999   RDT&E   Non Treasury Funds							
	ALCO NO.	BY 2012 \$M					
Fiscal Year	Quantity	End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non Recurring Flyaway	Total Flyaway	Total Support	Total Program
1996							14.7
1997	122		22			22.	86.1
1998							91.7
1999							61.6
2000						**	31.2
2001			22.7				11.6
2002							309.6
2003			-				356.3
2004			**				564.8
2005					_		830.9
2006		8.55		77.			878.7
2007			**				680.1
2008			***				603.4
2009				220			244.9
2010		V.==			7.7		136.2
2011							171.1
2012							122.0
2013		_				22.	144.4
2014	-					570	21.1
2015							14.2
2016							15.8
2017		-					20.1
2018			==				24.7
Subtotal	944	(i) ±2	••		-		5435.2

	Annual Funding - F-35 Aircraft						
	3010   Procurement   Aircraft Procurement, Air Force						
11/2 11/2 2012				TY \$M			
Fiscal Year	Quantity	End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non Recurring Flyaway	Total Flyaway	Total Support	Total Program
2006		107.6	ato ato		107.6		107.6
2007	2	428.5		80.8	509.3	91.1	600.4
2008	6	983.1		172.3	1155.4	131.5	1286.9
2009	7	1009.2		277.6	1286.8	175.8	1462.6
2010	10	1471.2		355.7	1826.9	277.7	2104.6
2011	22	2751.2		569.1	3320.3	679.6	3999.9
2012	18	2041.5		375.7	2417.2	773.0	3190.2
2013	19	2074.6		76.6	2151.2	528.9	2680.1
2014	19	2034.6		617.8	2652.4	433.0	3085.4
2015	28	2715.8		625.0	3340.8	605.0	3945.8
2016	47	4076.0		561.5	4637.5	626.3	5263.8
2017	43	3339.3		649.7	3989.0	488.5	4477.5
2018	44	3584.0		1070.4	4654.4	559.4	5213.8
2019	48	3247.9	×	1079.3	4327.2	566.2	4893.4
2020	48	3473.2		886.9	4360.1	638.0	4998.1
2021	60	4300.8		709.3	5010.1	802.1	5812.2
2022	80	6091.5		640.6	6732.1	748.4	7480.5
2023	80	5332.8		609.7	5942.5	648.7	6591.2
2024	80	5462.0		620.7	6082.7	717.2	6799.9
2025	80	6101.5		634.5	6736.0	605.3	7341.3
2026	80	6961.8		647.4	7609.2	818.1	8427.3
2027	80	6472.7		598.4	7071.1	648.5	7719.6
2028	80	5901.4		607.9	6509.3	599.3	7108.6
2029	80	5990.1		616.6	6606.7	487.4	7094.1
2030	80	6622.5	-	634.0	7256.5	547.0	7803.5
2031	80	7570.5		649.8	8220.3	699.2	8919.5
2032	80	7465.0		676.1	8141.1	645.3	8786.4
2033	80	6998.0		694.7	7692.7	571.2	8263.9
2034	80	7179.5		698.4	7877.9	75.3	7953.2
2035	80	7878.9		711.8	8590.7	32.9	8623.6
2036	80	8035.0		722.5	8757.5	29.1	8786.6
2037	80	8150.0		676.7	8826.7	20.7	8847.4
2038	62	6604.9		552.2	7157.1	6.2	7163.3
Subtotal	1763	152456.6		19099.7	171556.3	15275.9	186832.2

	Annual Funding - F-35 Aircraft						
	3010   Procurement   Aircraft Procurement, Air Force BY 2012 \$M						
Fiscal Year	Quantity	End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non Recurring Flyaway	Total Flyaway	Total Support	Total Program
2006		116.8			116.8	••	116.8
2007	2	452.5		85.4	537.9	96.2	634.1
2008	6	1022.9		179.3	1202.2	136.8	1339.0
2009	7	1035.7	-	284.7	1320.4	180.5	1500.9
2010	10	1478.8		357.6	1836.4	279.1	2115.5
2011	22	2711.7		561.0	3272.7	669.8	3942.5
2012	18	1983.8		365.1	2348.9	751.1	3100.0
2013	19	1994.5		73.6	2068.1	508.5	2576.6
2014	19	1930.9		586.3	2517.2	411.0	2928.2
2015	28	2539.2		584.4	3123.6	565.6	3689.2
2016	47	3746.2		516.1	4262.3	575.6	4837.9
2017	43	3011.8	100	586.0	3597.8	440.6	4038.4
2018	44	3170.3		946.7	4117.0	494.9	4611.9
2019	48	2816.6		936.1	3752.7	491.0	4243.7
2020	48	2953.0		754.1	3707.1	542.4	4249.5
2021	60	3584.9		591.2	4176.1	668.6	4844.7
2022	80	4978.0		523.5	5501.5	611.6	6113.1
2023	80	4272.5		488.5	4761.0	519.7	5280.7
2024	80	4290.2		487.6	4777.8	563.3	5341.1
2025	80	4698.6		488.6	5187.2	466.1	5653.3
2026	80	5255.9		488.7	5744.6	617.7	6362.3
2027	80	4790.9		442.9	5233.8	480.0	5713.8
2028	80	4282.4		441.2	4723.6	434.8	5158.4
2029	80	4261.5		438.6	4700.1	346.8	5046.9
2030	80	46 <b>1</b> 9.0		442.2	5061.2	381.5	5442.7
2031	80	5176.7		444.3	5621.0	478.1	6099.1
2032	80	5004.5		453.2	5457.7	432.6	5890.3
2033	80	4599.4		456.6	5056.0	375.4	5431.4
2034	80	4626.2	9-2	450.0	5076.2	48.5	5124.7
2035	80	4935.2		445.8	5381.0	20.6	5401.6
2036	80	4934.3		443.6	5377.9	17.9	5395.8
2037	80	4906.7	1775	407.4	5314.1	12.5	5326.6
2038	62	3898.5		325.9	4224.4	3.7	4228.1
Subtotal	1763	114080.1		15076.2	129156.3	12622.5	141778.8

Cost Quantity Information - F-35 Aircraft 3010   Procurement   Aircraft Procurement, Air Force				
Fiscal Year	Quantity	End Item Recurring Flyaway (Aligned With Quantity) BY 2012 \$M		
2006		••		
2007	2	452.5		
2008	6	1022.9		
2009	7	1035.7		
2010	10	1478.8		
2011	22	2711.7		
2012	18	1983.8		
2013	19	1994.5		
2014	19	1930.9		
2015	28	2539.2		
2016	47	3746.2		
2017	43	3011.8		
2018	44	3170.3		
2019	48	2816.6		
2020	48	2953.0		
2021	60	3584.9		
2022	80	4978.0		
2023	80	4272.5		
2024	80	4290.2		
2025	80	4698.6		
2026	80	5255.9		
2027	80	4790.9		
2028	80	4282.4		
2029	80	4261.5		
2030	80	4619.0		
2031	80	5176.7		
2032	80	5004.5		
2033	80	4599.4		
2034	80	4626.2		
2035	80	4935.2		
2036	80	4934.3		
2037	80	4906.7		
2038	62	4015.3		
Subtotal	1763	114080.1		

Annual Funding - F-35 Aircraft 1506   Procurement   Aircraft Procurement, Navy							
TY \$M						No line and	
Fiscal Year	Quantity	End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non Recurring Flyaway	Total Flyaway	Total Support	Total Program
2007		96.9			96.9		96.9
2008	6	923.2		38.6	961.8	10.7	972.5
2009	7	1062.0		182.0	1244.0	206,1	1450.1
2010	20	2681.2		305.4	2986.6	560.9	3547.5
2011	10	1494.8		251.0	1745.8	431.8	2177.6
2012	13	1477.7		330.2	1807.9	746.7	2554.6
2013	10	1107.3		44.1	1151.4	557.3	1708.7
2014	10	1205.5		406.3	1611.8	642.3	2254.1
2015	10	1115.0		650.9	1765.9	414.1	2180.0
2016	21	2130.3		601.9	2732.2	629.9	3362.1
2017	20	1867.2		422.9	2290.1	426.8	2716.9
2018	26	2555.1	m m	668.2	3223.3	346.9	3570.2
2019	32	2648.5		603.2	3251.7	482.6	3734.3
2020	38	3242.8		501.5	3744.3	624.3	4368.6
2021	45	3731.8		535.4	4267.2	786.8	5054.0
2022	45	4015.2	**	396.9	4412.1	644.6	5056.7
2023	45	3511.2		377.5	3888.7	533.7	4422.4
2024	45	3566.7		368.0	3934.7	490.6	4425.3
2025	45	3896.6		377.9	4274.5	400.8	4675.3
2026	45	4396.8		381.4	4778.2	441.8	5220.0
2027	45	4131.9		358.6	4490.5	335.6	4826.1
2028	45	3834.2		364.0	4198.2	369.0	4567.2
2029	45	3721.1		356.9	4078.0	260.7	4338.7
2030	28	2685.4	-	373.5	3058.9	388.6	3447.5
2031	24	2180.2		326.5	2506.7	361.3	2868.0
Subtotal	680	63278.6		9222.8	72501.4	11093.9	83595.3

Annual Funding - F-35 Aircraft 1506   Procurement   Aircraft Procurement, Navy								
A STATE			BY 2012 \$M					
Fiscal Year	Quantity	End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non Recurring Flyaway	Total Flyaway	Total Support	Total Program	
2007		102.3		-	102.3		102.3	
2008	6	960.6		40.2	1000.8	11.1	1011.9	
2009	7	1089.8		186.8	1276.6	211.5	1488.1	
2010	20	2695.1		307.0	3002.1	563.8	3565.9	
2011	10	1473.3		247.4	1720.7	425.6	2146.3	
2012	13	1435.9		320.9	1756.8	725.6	2482.4	
2013	10	1064.5	••	42.4	1106.9	535.8	1642.7	
2014	10	1144.1		385.6	1529.7	609.5	2139.2	
2015	10	1042.5		608.5	1651.0	387.2	2038.2	
2016	21	1957.9		553.2	2511.1	578.9	3090.0	
2017	20	1684.1		381.4	2065.5	385.0	2450.5	
2018	26	2260.2		591.0	2851.2	306.9	3158.1	
2019	32	2296.8		523.2	2820.0	418.5	3238.5	
2020	38	2757.1		426.4	3183.5	530.8	3714.3	
2021	45	3110.6		446.3	3556.9	655.8	4212.7	
2022	45	3281.2		324.3	3605.5	526.8	4132.3	
2023	45	2813.1		302.4	3115.5	427.6	3543.1	
2024	45	2801.5		289.1	3090.6	385.3	3475.9	
2025	45	3000.6		291.1	3291.7	308.6	3600.3	
2026	45	3319.4		287.9	3607.3	333.6	3940.9	
2027	45	3058.3		265.4	3323.7	248.4	3572.1	
2028	45	2782.3		264.2	3046.5	267.7	3314.2	
2029	45	2647.3		253.8	2901.1	185.5	3086.6	
2030	28	1873.0		260.4	2133.4	271.1	2404.5	
2031	24	1490.8		223.3	1714.1	247.0	1961.1	
Subtotal	680	52142.3		7822.2	59964.5	9547.6	69512.1	

Cost Quantity Information - F-35 Aircraft 1506   Procurement   Aircraft Procurement, Navy			
Fiscal Year	Quantity	End Item Recurring Flyaway (Aligned With Quantity) BY 2012 \$M	
2007			
2008	6	960.6	
2009	7	1089.8	
2010	20	2695.1	
2011	10	1473.3	
2012	13	1435.9	
2013	10	1064.5	
2014	10	1144.1	
2015	10	1042.5	
2016	21	1957.9	
2017	20	1684.1	
2018	26	2260.2	
2019	32	2296.8	
2020	38	2757.1	
2021	45	3110.6	
2022	45 45	3281.2 2813.1	
2023 2024	45 45	2801.5	
2024	45	3000.6	
2026	45 45	3319.4	
2027	45	3058.3	
2028	45	2782.3	
2029	45	2647.3	
2030	28	1873.0	
2031	24	1593.1	
Subtotal	680	52142.3	

Annual Funding - F-35 Aircraft 1205   MILCON   Military Construction, Navy and Marine Corps				
Fiscal	TY \$M			
Year	Total Program			
2004	24.4			
2005				
2006	0.1			
2007				
2008	0.2			
2009	0.7			
2010	34.1			
2011	377.9			
2012	172.2			
2013	94.9			
2014				
2015	131.9			
2016	94.4			
2017	230.9			
2018	64.7			
2019	122.0			
2020	119.8			
2021	116.2			
2022	75.9			
2023	101.3			
2024	145.0			
2025				
2026	61.9			
2027	75.0			
2028	65.1			
2029	2.0			
Subtotal	2110.6			

Annual Funding - F-35 Aircraft 1205   MILCON   Military Construction, Navy and Marine Corps			
	BY 2012 \$M		
Fiscal Year	Total		
rear	Program		
2004	27.8		
2005	**		
2006	0.1		
2007			
2008	0.2		
2009	0.7		
2010	34.1		
2011	369.3		
2012	165.9		
2013	90.2		
2014			
2015	121.5		
2016	85.4		
2017	204.9		
2018	56.3 104.0		
2019 2020	104.0		
2021	95.2		
2022	61.0		
2023	79.8		
2024	112.0		
2025			
2026	46.0		
2027	54.6		
2028	46.5		
2029	1.4		
Subtotal	1857.1		

All DoN MILCON funding is reflected in the Aircraft subprogram.

	ng - F-35 Aircraft ry Construction, Air Force
THE WAY STORY OF THE PARTY OF T	TY \$M
Fiscal	Total
Year	Program
2004	1.7
2005	10.0
2006	
2007	
2008	100.3
2009	116.0
2010	125.1
2011	139.6
2012	24.3
2013	13.5
2014	56.0
2015	66.7
2016	198.3
2017	340.8
2018	101.0
2019	61.5
2020	15.9
2021	
2022	123.7
2023	167.0
2024	142.3
2025	122.1
2026	118.4
2027	129.9
2028	101.8
2029	102.7
2030	94.6
2031	71.7
2032	71.2
2033	37.5
2034	24.8
2035	4.3
Subtotal	2682.7

Annual Funding - F-35 Aircraft 3300   MILCON   Military Construction, Air Force				
In the second second	BY 2012 \$M			
Fiscal Year	Total			
	Program			
2004	1.9			
2005	11.1			
2006				
2007				
2008	104.1			
2009	118.8			
2010	125.0			
2011	136.4			
2012	23.4			
2013	12.8			
2014	52.5			
2015	61.5			
2016	179.4			
2017	302.4			
2018	87.9			
2019	52.4			
2020	13.3			
2021				
2022	99.4			
2023	131.6			
2024	109.9			
2025	92.5			
2026	87.9			
2027	94.5			
2028	72.6			
2029	71.8			
2030	64.4			
2031	47.9			
2032	46.6			
2033	24.0			
2034	15.6			
2035	2.7			
Subtotal	2244.3			

All Air Force F-35 MILCON funding is reflected in the Aircraft subprogram.

# **Annual Funding By Appropriation - F-35 Engine**

	Annual Funding - F-35 Engine 3600   RDT&E   Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Air Force										
**	The Way		TY \$M								
	Fiscal Year	Quantity	End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non Recurring Flyaway	Total Flyaway	Total Support	Total Program			
	1995							16.4			
	1996							15.9			
	1997							49.3			
	1998							87.1			
	1999							89.4			
	2000							48.8			
	2001							66.9			
	2002							409.8			
	2003							400.5			
	2004					••		435.8			
	2005							614.3			
	2006							586.3			
	2007							441.6			
	2008							596.0			
	2009			**		-		544.6			
	2010					<u>્ર</u> ા		466.1			
	2011							216.2			
	2012							101.8			
	2013							143.6			
	2014				••			52.0			
	2015							54.5			
	2016				-			58.4			
	2017							14.5			
	Subtotal	5	**			••		5509.8			

	360	O I RDT&F I Rese	Annual Funding -	F-35 Engine	luation. Air Fe	orce	I positivi A			
		600   RDT&E   Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Air Force  BY 2012 \$M								
Fiscal Year	Quantity	End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non Recurring Flyaway	Total Flyaway	Total Support	Total Program			
1995	( <del></del>	LO BELLEVIO	-	-		-	21.7			
1996	-				-	_	20.6			
1997							63.2			
1998							110.8			
1999					**		112.4			
2000			2.2	22			60.5			
2001							81.8			
2002							496.0			
2003							477.8			
2004	-	_					505.8			
2005		-					694.7			
2006	**	**					643.0			
2007		-					472.7			
2008							626.5			
2009							565.2			
2010							476.6			
2011							215.9			
2012	٠.						100.0			
2013	-						139.6			
2014		-		***			49.8			
2015		44.7					51.6			
2016							54.4			
2017							13.3			
Subtotal	5			••	-#1		6053.9			

	int smi	1:	319   RDT&E   Re	Annual Funding -		valuation. Na	vv			
1	Aut 1904	TO STATE	TY \$M							
	Fiscal Year	Quantity	End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non Recurring Flyaway	Total Flyaway	Total Support	Total Program		
	1994						aa aa	5.8		
	1995							19.3		
	1996							15.8		
	1997							47.7		
	1998							87.8		
	1999						dirán	92.4		
	2000							46.7		
	2001							66.9		
	2002		••		and one			350.4		
	2003							550.8		
	2004							533.2		
	2005	in in						573.5		
	2006							528.1		
	2007							639.1		
	2008							563.9		
	2009							433.1		
	2010							445.7		
	2011	~~						252.9		
	2012							187.6		
	2013							199.2		
	2014				••			116.1		
	2015							173.7		
	2016							141.2		
_	2017	••					-	42.1		
	Subtotal	9						6113.0		

	15	319   RDT&F   Re	Annual Funding -		valuation Na	vv	
		JIO NOTOLE INC		BY 2012 \$			TO F. B.
Fiscal Year	Quantity	End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non Recurring Flyaway	Total Flyaway	Total Support	Total Program
1994							7.8
1995		11			**		25.5
1996					***		20.5
1997							61.2
1998	-						111.7
1999							116.2
2000							57.9
2001							81.8
2002		-					424.1
2003							657.1
2004							618.8
2005							648.5
2006							579.1
2007	-					<del></del>	684.1
2008							592.8
2009							449.5
2010							455.8
2011			-22				252.6
2012		77					184.3
2013							193.7
2014							111.3
2015						2_	164.4
2016				-		-	131.5
2017		••				*-	38.5
Subtotal	9				-2	-	6668.7

Zas j	0400	RDT&E   Resear	Annual Funding rch, Development		ation, Defens	e-Wide				
TY \$M										
Fiscal Year	Quantity	End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non Recurring Flyaway	Total Flyaway	Total Support	Total Program			
1994							5.7			
1995							13.4			
1996						***	4.0			
Subtotal							23.1			

	0400	RDT&E   Resear	Annual Funding ch, Development	- F-35 Engine t, Test, and Evalu	ation, Defens	e-Wide		
10 mg	7 7 7 8 0	BY 2012 \$M						
Fiscal Year	Quantity	End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non Recurring Flyaway	Total Flyaway	Total Support	Total Program	
1994				S			7.7	
1995							17.7	
1996							5.2	
Subtotal		••					30.6	

	Annual Funding - F-35 Engine 9999   RDT <u>i</u> &E   Non Tireasury Funds										
						Same Of the					
Fiscal Year	Quantity	End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non Recurring Flyaway	Total Flyaway	Total Support	Total Program				
1996			-	-			2.7				
1997							3.9				
1998			-		**		5.1				
1999		·					5.7				
2000						**	1.8				
2001			-				0.5				
2002							43.3				
2003							124.3				
2004							54.1				
2005			-				0.3				
2006											
2007							75.0				
2008			(422			22.0					
2009											
2010			-			***					
2011											
2012											
2013							0.3				
Subtotal		••					317.0				

		999	Annual Funding -	F-35 Engine Treasury Funds			
				BY 2012 \$f	VI.		
Fiscal Year	Quantity	End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non Recurring Flyaway	Total Flyaway	Total Support	Total Program
1996					-		3.5
1997							5.0
1998							6.5
1999							7.2
2000		-		**			2.2
2001							0.6
2002							52.4
2003							148.3
2004							62.8
2005							0.3
2006			220				
2007							80.3
2008							
2009							
2010							
2011							
2012							
2013		144					0.3
Subtotal		••					369.4

				Annual Funding -		ir Force		
	William				TY \$M		THE PARTY NAMED IN	
	Fiscal Year	Quantity	End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non Recurring Flyaway	Total Flyaway	Total Support	Total Program
	2006		9.8		117	9.8		9.8
	2007	2	47.5		6.9	54.4	27.7	82.1
	2008	6	123.6		35.0	158.6	30.9	189.5
	2009	7	127.0		63.9	190.9	33.3	224.2
	2010	10	176.7		72.6	249.3	59.1	308.4
	2011	22	353.2		91.6	444.8	136.6	581.4
	2012	18	275.3	••	65.7	341.0	123.0	464.0
	2013	19	262.5		11.9	274.4	89.6	364.0
	2014	19	282.1		31.2	313.3	47.5	360.8
	2015	28	386.7		15.5	402.2	116.2	518.4
	2016	47	606.1		23.2	629.3	126.7	756.0
	2017	43	606.7		48.9	655.6	116.7	772.3
	2018	44	651.2		80.6	731.8	135.8	867.6
	2019	48	= 590.1		81.2	671.3	145.4	816.7
	2020	48	631.1		66.8	697.9	153.6	851.5
	2021	60	781.4		53.4	834.8	172.1	1006.9
	2022	80	1066.3		48.2	1114.5	178.5	1293.0
	2023	80	976.1		45.9	1022.0	189.3	1211.3
	2024	80	997.2	***	46.7	1043.9	177.6	1221.5
	2025	80	1094.6		47.8	1142.4	184.4	1326.8
	2026	80	1123.6		48.7	1172.3	218.3	1390.6
	2027	80	1068.0	***	45.0	1113.0	135.7	1248.7
*	2028	80	977.9		45.8	1023.7	130.3	1154.0
	2029	80	996.6		46.4	1043.0	119.0	1162.0
	2030	80	1091.1		47.7	1138.8	122.4	1261.2
	2031	80	1237.8		48.9	1286.7	153.9	1440.6
	2032	80	1185.7		50.9	1236.6	143.0	1379.6
	2033	80	1090.4		52.3	1142.7	137.1	1279.8
	2034	80	1110.8		52.6	1163.4	18.8	1182.2
	2035	80	1219.4		53.6	1273.0	3.7	1276.7
	2036	80	1241.5		54.4	1295.9	3.2	1299.1
	2037	80	1243.9		50.9	1294.8	2.3	1297.1
	2038	62	902.2	••	41.6	943.8	0.5	944.3
5	Subtotal	1763	24534.1		1575.8	26109.9	3432.2	29542.1

	) = 11° X) =		Annual Funding -				
		3010   Proc	urement   Aircraft	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.	Service of the last of the las		
				BY 2012 \$N	V		
Fiscal Year	Quantity	End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non Recurring Flyaway	Total Flyaway	Total Support	Total Program
2006		10.6			10.6		10.6
2007	2	50.2		7.3	57.5	29.2	86.7
2008	6	128.6	22.0	36.4	165.0	32.2	197.2
2009	7	130.3		65.6	195.9	34.2	230.1
2010	10	177.6		73.0	250.6	59.4	310,0
2011	22	348.1		90.3	438.4	134.7	573.1
2012	18	267.5	227	63.8	331.3	119.6	450.9
2013	19	252.4		11.4	263.8	86.1	349.9
2014	19	267.7		29.6	297.3	45.1	342.4
2015	28	361.6		14.5	376.1	108.6	484.7
2016	47	557.1		21,3	578.4	116.4	694.8
2017	43	547.2		44.1	591.3	105.3	696.6
2018	44	576.0		71.3	647.3	120.1	767.4
2019	48	511.7		70.4	582.1	126.2	708.3
2020	48	536.6		56.8	593.4	130.6	724.0
2021	60	651.3		44.5	695.8	143.5	839.3
2022	80	871.4		39.4	910.8	145.8	1056.6
2023	80	782.0		36.8	818.8	151.7	970.5
2024	80	783.3		36.7	820.0	139.4	959.4
2025	80	842.9		36.8	879.7	142.0	1021.7
2026	80	848.3		36.8	885.1	164.8	1049.9
2027	80	790.5		33.3	823.8	100.4	924.2
2028	80	709.6		33.2	742.8	94.6	837.4
2029	80	709.0		33.0	742.0	84.7	826.7
2030	80	761.0		33.3	794.3	85.4	879.7
2031	80	846.4		33.4	879.8	105.3	985.1
2032	80	794.9		34.1	829.0	95.9	924.9
2033	80	716.7		34.4	751.1	90.0	841.1
2034	80	715.8		33.9	749.7	12.1	761.8
2035	80	763.8		33.6	797.4	2.3	799.7
2036	80	762.4		33.4	795.8	2.0	797.8
2037	80	748.9		30.6	779.5	1.4	780.9
2038	62	532.5		24.6	557.1	0.3	557.4
Subtotal	1763	18353.9		1277.6	19631.5	2809.3	22440.8

	Cost Quantity Information - F-35 Engine 3010   Procurement   Aircraft Procurement, Air Force									
Fiscal Year	Quantity	End Item Recurring Flyaway (Aligned With Quantity) BY 2012 \$M								
2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026	2 6 7 10 22 18 19 19 28 47 43 44 48 48 60 80 80 80 80	50.2 128.6 130.3 177.6 348.1 267.5 252.4 267.7 361.6 557.1 547.2 576.0 511.7 536.6 651.3 871.4 782.0 783.3 842.9 848.3								
2027 2028 2029 2030 2031 2032 2033 2034 2035 2036 2037 2038	80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	790.5 709.6 709.0 761.0 846.4 794.9 716.7 715.8 763.8 762.4 748.9 543.1								

	13/1/18/1		Annual Funding - ocurement   Aircr		Navy				
XIII		TY \$M							
Fiscal Year	Quantity	End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non Recurring Flyaway	Total Flyaway	Total Support	Total Program		
2007		27.4		II O R V.	27.4	<u></u>	27.4		
2008	6	246.1		1.3	247.4	1.2	248.6		
2009	7	298.0		54.3	352.3	65.6	417.9		
2010	20	599.0		118.5	717.5	127.6	845.1		
2011	10	400.5		112.5	513.0	122.3	635.3		
2012	13	191.4		57.7	249.1	62,0	311.1		
2013	10	236.9		26.6	263.5	169.8	433.3		
2014	10	227.1		21.6	248.7	142.4	391.1		
2015	10	259.5		27.6	287.1	68.0	355.1		
2016	21	362.7		22.3	385.0	109.9	494.9		
2017	20	568.4		87.3	655.7	80.6	736.3		
2018	26	742.3	223	138.0	880.3	61.4	941.7		
2019	32	750.5		124.6	875.1	82.6	957.7		
2020	38	840.1		103.6	943.7	116.7	1060.4		
2021	45	937.1		110.6	1047.7	186.2	1233.9		
2022	45	994.1		82.0	1076.1	117.3	1193.4		
2023	45	910.7		76.0	986.7	117.0	1103.7		
2024	45	926.6		89.5	1016.1	93.2	1109.3		
2025	45	1012.3		85.4	1097.7	93.6	1191.3		
2026	45	1006.6		89.5	1096.1	104.3	1200.4		
2027	45	970.3	5.7	61.5	1031.8	82.5	1114.3		
2028	45	910.7		57.4	968.1	90.6	1058.7		
2029	45	865.2		60.8	926.0	67.5	993.5		
2030	28	442.9		71.8	514.7	95.9	610.6		
2031	24	299.0		_ 78.5	377.5	103.6	481.1		
Subtotal	680	15025.4	••	1758.9	16784.3	2361.8	19146.1		

			Annual Funding - ocurement   Aircr		Navy					
On Market Print I		BY 2012 \$M								
Fiscal Year	Quantity	End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non End Item Recurring Flyaway	Non Recurring Flyaway	Total Flyaway	Total Support	Total Program			
2007		28.9		-	28.9		28.9			
2008	6	256.1		1.4	257.5	1.2	258.7			
2009	7	305.8	~~	55.8	361.6	67.3	428.9			
2010	20	602.1		119.2	721.3	128.2	849.5			
2011	10	394.8		110.8	505.6	120.6	626.2			
2012	13	186.0	81	56.0	242.0	60.3	302.3			
2013	10	227.8		25.6	253.4	163.2	416.6			
2014	10	215.5		20.5	236.0	135.2	371.2			
2015	10	242.6	••	25.8	268.4	63.6	332.0			
2016	21	333.4		20.5	353.9	101.0	454.9			
2017	20	512.7		78.7	591.4	72.7	664.1			
2018	26	656.6		122.1	778.7	54.3	833.0			
2019	32	650.8		108.1	758.9	71.6	830.5			
2020	38	714.3		88.1	802.4	99.2	901.6			
2021	45	781.1		92.2	873.3	155.2	1028.5			
2022	45	812.4		67.0	879.4	95.8	975.2			
2023	45	729.6		60.9	790.5	93.8	884.3			
2024	45	727.8		70.3	798.1	73.2	871.3			
2025	45	779.5		65.8	845.3	72.1	917.4			
2026	45	759.9		67.7	827.6	78.7	906.3			
2027	45	718.2		45.5	763.7	61.1	824.8			
2028	45	660.9		41.7	702.6	65.6	768.2			
2029	45	615.5		43.3	658.8	48.0	706.8			
2030	28	308.9		50.1	359.0	66.9	425.9			
2031	24	204.5		53.6	258.1	70.9	329.0			
Subtotal	680	12425.7		1490.7	13916.4	2019.7	15936.1			

Cost Quantity Information - F-35 Engine						
Fiscal Year	Quantity	End Item Recurring Flyaway (Aligned With Quantity) BY 2012 \$M				
2007						
2008	6	256.1				
2009	7	305.8				
2010	20	602.1				
2011	10	394.8				
2012	13	186.0				
2013	10	227.8				
2014	10	215.5				
2015	10	242.6				
2016	21	333.4				
2017	20	512.7				
2018	26	656.6				
2019	32	650.8				
2020	38	714.3				
2021	45	781.1				
2022	45	812.4				
2023	45	729.6				
2024	45	727.8				
2025	45	779.5				
2026	45	759.9				
2027	45	718.2				
2028	45	660.9				
2029	45	615.5				
2030	28	308.9				
2031	24	233.4				
Subtotal	680	12425.7				

## **Low Rate Initial Production**

## F-35 Aircraft

Item	Initial LRIP Decision	Current Total LRIP
Approval Date	10/26/2001	5/23/2015
Approved Quantity	465	518
Reference	Milestone B ADM	LRIP Approval ADM
Start Year	2006	2006
End Year	2015	2019

The Current Total LRIP Quantity is more than 10% of the total production quantity due to the necessity to prevent a break in production and to ramp up to FRP.

## F-35 Engine

Item	Initial LRIP Decision	Current Total LRIP
Approval Date	10/26/2001	5/23/2015
Approved Quantity	465	518
Reference	Milestone B ADM	LRIP Approval ADM
Start Year	2006	2006
End Year	2015	2019

The Current Total LRIP Quantity is more than 10% of the total production quantity due to the necessity to prevent a break in production and to ramp up to FRP.

# **Foreign Military Sales**

#### F-35 Aircraft

	Country	Date of Sale	Quantity	Total Cost \$M	Description
Japan				šti	
Israel					
Korea					
Notes				of profession and the	

## F-35 Engine

## Notes

FMS information for the F-35 Engine subprogram are reflected in the F-35 Aircraft subprogram.

## **Nuclear Costs**

F-35 Aircraft

None

F-35 Engine

None

#### **Unit Cost**

#### F-35 Aircraft

## **Unit Cost Report**

	BY 2012 \$M	BY 2012 \$M		
ltem	Current UCR Baseline (Jun 2014 APB)	Current Estimate (Dec 2015 SAR)	% Change	
Program Acquisition Unit Cost				
Cost	274958.4	261760.9		
Quantity	2457	2457		
Unit Cost	111.908	106.537	-4.80	
Average Procurement Unit Cost				
Cost	224332.9	211290.9		
Quantity	2443	2443		
Unit Cost	91.827	86.488	-5.81	

ate % Change R)
760.9
2457
6.537 -5.29
290.9
2443
6.488 -5.81
)

The DoD average F-35 Aircraft Unit Recurring Flyaway (URF) Cost consists of the Hardware (Airframe, Vehicle Systems, Mission Systems, and Engineering Change Order) costs over the life of the program. The URF assumes the quantity benefits of 115 FMS aircraft and 612 International Partner aircraft.


F-35A (Conventional Take Off and Landing) URF - \$65.7 M (BY 2012)

F-35B (Short Takeoff and Vertical Landing) URF - \$77.3M (BY 2012)

F-35C (Carrier Variant) URF - \$78 M (BY 2012)

## F-35 Aircraft

# **Unit Cost History**



Item	Date	BY 2012	\$M	M TY\$M	
item	Date	PAUC	APUC	PAUC	APUC
Original APB	Oct 2001	74.567	60.632	81.298	68.934
APB as of January 2006	Mar 2004	94.837	73.845	100.407	81.826
Revised Original APB	Mar 2012	112.529	91.827	135.065	115.697
Prior APB	Mar 2012	112,529	91.827	135.065	115.697
Current APB	Jun 2014	111.908	91.827	134.638	115.697
Prior Annual SAR	Dec 2014	107.755	87.889	131.918	113.208
Current Estimate	Dec 2015	106.537	86.488	129.585	110.695

## **SAR Unit Cost History**

Current SAR Baseline to Current Estimate (TY \$M)									
Initial PAUC Development Estimate		A Justin		Cha	nges				PAUC Current
	Econ	Qty	Sch	Eng	Est	Oth	Spt	Total	Estimate
135,065	0.422	0.000	1.592	0.744	-4.257	0.000	-3.981	-5.480	129.585

ALC: No. of the last		Currer	nt SAR Ba	aseline to	Current E	stimate (T	Y \$M)	s. same agent	
Initial APUC Development Estimate				Cha	nges	1111			APUC
	Econ	Qty	Sch	Eng	Est	Oth	Spt	Total	Current Estimate
115.697	0.427	0.000	1.602	0.748	-3.775	0.000	-4.004	-5.002	110.695

SAR Baseline History								
ltem	SAR Planning Estimate	SAR Development Estimate	SAR Production Estimate	Current Estimate				
Milestone I	N/A	Nov 1996	N/A	Nov 1996				
Milestone B	Mar 2001	Mar 2012	N/A	Mar 2012				
Milestone C	TBD	Apr 2019	N/A	Apr 2019				
IOC	TBD	TBD	N/A	Jul 2015				
Total Cost (TY \$M)	24800.0	331855.2	N/A	318390.9				
Total Quantity	N/A	2457	N/A	2457				
PAUC	N/A	135.065	N/A	129.585				

The Service IOC reflected in the above table is the U.S. Marine Corps Objective date. In addition, the U.S. Air Force IOC objective date is August 2016, and the U.S. Navy IOC objective date is August 2018.

## F-35 Engine

## **Unit Cost Report**

	BY 2012 \$M	BY 2012 \$M		
Item	Current UCR Baseline (Jun 2014 APB)	Current Estimate (Dec 2015 SAR)	% Change	
Program Acquisition Unit Cost	1.0 mm			
Cost	55273.5	51499.5		
Quantity	2457	2457		
Unit Cost	22.496	20.960	-6.83	
Average Procurement Unit Cost				
Cost	42332.9	38376.9		
Quantity	2443	2443		
Unit Cost	17.328	15.709	-9.34	

	BY 2012 \$M	BY 2012 \$M		
Item	Original UCR Baseline (Mar 2012 APB)	Current Estimate (Dec 2015 SAR)	% Change	
Program Acquisition Unit Cost				
Cost	53916.4	51499.5		
Quantity	2458	2457		
Unit Cost	21.935	20.960	-4.44	
Average Procurement Unit Cost				
Cost	42332.9	38376.9		
Quantity	2443	2443		
Unit Cost	17,328	15.709	-9.34	

The DoD average F-35 Engine Unit Recurring Flyaway (URF) Cost consists of the Hardware (Propulsion and Engineering Change Order) costs over the life of the program. The URF assumes the quantity benefits of 115 FMS engines and 612 International Partner engines.


F-35A (Conventional Take Off and Landing) URF - \$11 M (BY 2012)

F-35B (Short Takeoff and Vertical Landing) URF - \$27.7 M (BY 2012)

F-35C (Carrier Variant) URF - \$10.9 M (BY 2012)

## F-35 Engine

# **Unit Cost History**



Item	Date	BY 201	2 \$M	TY \$M		
Hamilton and the second	Date	PAUC	APUC	PAUC	APUC	
Original APB	Mar 2012	21.989	17.328	25.990	21.708	
APB as of January 2006	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Revised Original APB	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Prior APB	Mar 2012	21.989	17.328	25.990	21.708	
Current APB	Jun 2014	22.496	17.328	26.396	21.708	
Prior Annual SAR	Dec 2014	22.626	17.378	27.274	22.526	
Current Estimate	Dec 2015	20.960	15.709	24.685	19.930	

## **SAR Unit Cost History**

Current SAR Baseline to Current Estimate (TY \$M)									
Initial PAUC	Changes						PAUC		
Development Estimate	Econ	Qty	Sch	Eng	Est	Oth	Spt	Total	Current Estimate
25.990	0.054	0.000	0.303	0.000	-0.501	0.000	-1.161	-1.305	24.68

		Currer	nt SAR B	aseline to	Current E:	stimate (T	Y \$M)	Photograph	Wester VI W
Initial APUC Development Estimate Eco	Changes							APUC	
	Econ	Qty	Sch	Eng	Est	Oth	Spt	Total	Current Estimate
21.708	0.048	0.000	0.305	0.000	-0.963	0.000	-1.167	-1.777	19.93

SAR Baseline History										
Item	SAR Planning Estimate	SAR Development Estimate	SAR Production Estimate	Current Estimate						
Milestone A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A						
Milestone B	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A						
Milestone C	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A						
IOC	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A						
Total Cost (TY \$M)	N/A	63856.6	N/A	60651.1						
Total Quantity	N/A	2457	N/A	2457						
PAUC	N/A	25.990	N/A	24.685						

# **Cost Variance**

# F-35 Aircraft

Summary TY \$M						
Item	RDT&E	Procurement	MILCON	Total		
SAR Baseline (Development	44410.1	282647.8	4797.3	331855.2		
Estimate)						
Previous Changes						
Economic	+24.6	+2886.2	+29.5	+2940.3		
Quantity		••				
Schedule		+3425.5		+3425.5		
Engineering						
Estimating	-1507.9	-7348.4	-199.7	-9056.0		
Other		••	<b>10</b> 10			
Support		-5043.7	••	-5043.7		
Subtotal	-1483.3	-6080.4	-170.2	-7733.9		
Current Changes	_ \/ \		Walke			
Economic	-36.7	-1842.6	-25.2	-1904.5		
Quantity	••	••	••			
Schedule		+487.2		+487.2		
Engineering	***	+1826.8	de de	+1826.8		
Estimating	+280.0	-1873.1	+191.4	-1401.7		
Other			<u></u>	<u> </u>		
Support		-4738.2		-4738.2		
Subtotal	+243.3	-6139.9	+166.2	-5730.4		
Total Changes	-1240.0	-12220.3	-4.0	-13464.3		
Current Estimate	43170.1	270427.5	4793.3	318390.9		

	Sumn	nary BY 2012 \$M			
Item	RDT&E	Procurement	MILCON	Total	
SAR Baseline (Development Estimate)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		4168.0	276483.0	
Previous Changes					
Economic	-	-			
Quantity				-	
Schedule					
Engineering			••		
Estimating	-1870.0	-5117.6	-238.6	-7226.2	
Other		••			
Support	-	-4502.9		-4502.9	
Subtotal	-1870.0	-9620.5	-238.6	-11729.1	
Current Changes					
Economic					
Quantity	-				
Schedule		***			
Engineering		+1346.4		+1346.4	
Estimating	+256.5	-1349.7	+172.0	-921.2	
Other					
Support		-3418.2		-3418.2	
Subtotal	+256.5	-3421.5	+172.0	-2993.0	
Total Changes	-1613.5	-13042.0	-66.6	-14722.1	
Current Estimate	46368,6	211290.9	4101.4	261760.9	

Previous Estimate: December 2014

RDT&E	\$M	
Current Change Explanations	Base Year	Then Year
Revised escalation indices. (Economic)	N/A	-36.7
Adjustment for current and prior escalation. (Estimating)	+26.7	+27.8
Realignment of cost between the aircraft subprogram and engine subprogram (Air Force (AF)). (Estimating)	+60.5	+64.3
Realignment of cost between the aircraft subprogram and engine subprogram (Navy). (Estimating)	-44.4	<del>-</del> 46.2
Revised estimate for Small Business Innovation Research in FY 2015 (Navy). (Estimating)	-24.1	-25.4
Revised estimate for additional operational testing requirements (AF). (Estimating)	+74.3	+81.2
Revised estimate for additional operational testing requirements (Navy). (Estimating)	+147.7	+161.7
Revised estimate to reflect application of new outyear inflation indices (Non- Treasury Funds). (Estimating)	+1.3	+0.8
Increase due to realignment of program funding, congressional addition, and actual funding investment (AF). (Estimating)	+8.6	+9.1
Decrease due to realignment of program funding, congressional reductions, and actual funding investment (AF). (Estimating)	-0.8	-0.8
Increase due to realignment of program funding, congressional addition, and actual funding investment (Navy). (Estimating)	+6.7	+7.5
RDT&E Subtotal	+256.5	+243.3

Procurement	\$M		
Current Change Explanations	Base Year	Then Year	
Revised escalation indices. (Economic)	N/A	-1842.6	
Adjustment for current and prior escalation. (Estimating)	+120.5	+128.9	
Stretch-out of procurement buy profile in FY 2016 to FY 2038 (Aircraft Procurement, AF (APAF)). (Schedule)	0.0	+1446.0	
Acceleration of procurement buy profile in FY 2016 to FY 2031 (Aircraft Procurement, Navy (APN)). (Schedule)	0.0	-958.8	
Revised estimate for International procurement quantity profile adjustments (APAF). (Estimating)	-128.1	-160.6	
Revised estimate for International procurement quantity profile adjustments (APN). (Estimating)	-18.1	-23.7	
Revised estimate of Airframe cost due to the incorporation of the latest prime and subcontractor actuals and labor/exchange rates (APAF). (Estimating)	+11.7	+131.1	
Revised estimate of Airframe cost due to the incorporation of the latest prime and subcontractor actuals and labor/exchange rates (APN). (Estimating)	+572.8	+676.1	
Update for fact of life changes for prior years/lots 2006-2016 (APAF). (Estimating)	-266.9	-291.1	
Update for fact of life changes for prior years/lots 2006-2016 (APN). (Estimating)	-71.4	-75.5	
Decrease based on revised estimating assumptions for Block Buy and Multi-Year Procurement (APN). (Estimating)	-733.8	-922.1	
Decrease based on revised estimating assumptions for Block Buy and Multi-Year Procurement (APAF). (Estimating)	-1259.9	-1713.6	
Revised estimate of non-recurring costs (APAF). (Estimating)	+224.3	+254.6	
Revised estimate of non-recurring costs (APN). (Estimating)	+199.2	+122.8	

Additional funding for Band 2/5 requirements (APAF) (Engineering)	+945.2	+1313.8
Additional funding for Band 2/5 requirements (APN). (Engineering)	+401.2	+513.0
Revised estimate for Other Support due to maturation of technical baseline, definition of customer requirements, and further definition of Service beddown plans (APAF). (Support)	+1.8	+92.0
Adjustment for current and prior escalation. (Support)	+25.7	+27.1
Revised estimate for Other Support due to maturation of technical baseline, definition of customer requirements, and further definition of Service beddown plans (APN). (Support)	-494.5	-544.4
Revised estimate for Initial Spares due to maturation of technical baseline, definition of customer requirements, and further definition of Service beddown plans (APAF). (Support)	-2480.8	-3648.8
Revised estimate for Initial Spares due to maturation of technical baseline, definition of customer requirements, and further definition of Service beddown plans (APN). (Support)	-470.4	-664.1
Procurement Subtotal	-3421.5	-6139.9

MILCON	\$1	\$M		
Current Change Explanations	Base Year	Then Year		
Revised escalation indices. (Economic)	N/A	-25.2		
Adjustment for current and prior escalation. (Estimating)	+5.1	+5.4		
Revised estimate as a result of refined requirements (AF). (Estimating)	+313.1	+361.9		
Revised estimate as a result of refined requirements (AF). (Estimating)	-153.8	-185.3		
Revised estimate as a result of refined requirements ( Navy). (Estimating)	+7.6	+9.4		
MILCON Subtotal	+172.0	+166.2		

# **Cost Variance**

# F-35 Engine

Summary TY \$M						
Item	RDT&E	Procurement	MILCON	Total		
SAR Baseline (Development Estimate)	10823.7	53032.9		63856.6		
Previous Changes						
Economic	+21.2	+489.3		+510.5		
Quantity	••			-		
Schedule		+645.6	••	+645.6		
Engineering			••			
Estimating	+1136.7	+1695.7		+2832.4		
Other	••					
Support		-831.7		-831.7		
Subtotal	+1157.9	+1998.9		+3156.8		
Current Changes				3 0/45 (3		
Economic	-4.7	-373.1		-377.8		
Quantity		**		-		
Schedule		+98.5		+98.5		
Engineering				-		
Estimating	-14.0	-4048.7		-4062.7		
Other						
Support		-2020.3	) II	-2020.3		
Subtotal	-18.7	-6343.6		-6362.3		
Total Changes	+1139.2	-4344.7	••	-3205.5		
Current Estimate	11962.9	48688.2	2.	60651.1		

	Summ	ary BY 2012 \$M		
Item	RDT&E	Procurement	MILCON	Total
SAR Baseline (Development Estimate)	11695.2	42332.9		54028.1
Previous Changes				
Economic	••			-
Quantity	••			
Schedule	••	<b>∞</b> ≠	derails	
Engineering	••			••
Estimating	+1440.8	+888.6		+2329.4
Other			-	
Support		-766.3		-766.3
Subtotal	+1440.8	+122.3		+1563.1
Current Changes				
Economic	••			
Quantity	••			
Schedule	••		-	••
Engineering				••
Estimating	-13.4	-2670.3		-2683.7
Other		••		••
Support		-1408.0	*	-1408.0
Subtotal	-13.4	-4078.3		-4091.7
Total Changes	+1427.4	-3956.0	••	-2528.6
Current Estimate	13122.6	38376.9	••	51499.5

Previous Estimate: December 2014

RDT&E	\$M		
Current Change Explanations	Base Year	Then Year	
Revised escalation indices. (Economic)	N/A	-4.7	
Adjustment for current and prior escalation. (Estimating)	+4.3	+4.3	
Realignment of cost between the engine subprogram and aircraft subprogram (AF). (Estimating)	-60.9	-64.3	
Realignment of cost between the engine subprogram and aircraft subprogram (Navy). (Estimating)	+43.4	+46.2	
Decrease due to realignment of program funding, congressional reductions, and actual funding investment (AF). (Estimating)	-0.2	-0.2	
RDT&E Subtotal	-13.4	-18.7	

Procurement	\$M	li juan
Current Change Explanations	Base Year	Then Year
Revised escalation indices. (Economic)	N/A	-373.1
Adjustment for current and prior escalation. (Estimating)	+17.8	+19.0
Stretch-out of procurement buy profile in FY 2016 to FY 2038 (Engine Procurement, AF). (Schedule)	0.0	+293.2
Acceleration of procurement buy profile in FY 2016 to FY 2031 (Engine Procurement, Navy). (Schedule)	0.0	-194.7
Revised estimate for International procurement quantity adjustments (Engine Procurement, AF). (Estimating)	-9.2	-12.5
Revised estimate for International procurement quantity adjustments (Engine Procurement, Navy). (Estimating)	-3.8	-5.1
Revised estimate to reflect actuals (Engine Procurement, AF). (Estimating)	-1445.7	-2326.2
Revised estimate to reflect actuals (Engine Procurement, Navy). (Estimating)	-330.6	-547.2
Decrease based on revised estimating assumptions for Block Buy and Multi-Year Procurement (Engine Procurement, AF). (Estimating)	-457.1	-643.3
Decrease based on revised estimating assumptions for Block Buy and Multi-Year Procurement (Engine Procurement, Navy). (Estimating)	-266.8	-343.0
Update for fact of life changes for prior years/lots 2006-2016 (Engine Procurement, AF). (Estimating)	-47.9	-52.1
Update for fact of life changes for prior years/lots 2006-2016 (Engine Procurement, Navy). (Estimating)	-127.0	-138.3
Adjustment for current and prior escalation. (Support)	+4.8	+5.0
Revised estimate for Other Support due to maturation of technical baseline, definition of customer requirements, and further definition of Service beddown plans (Engine Procurement, AF). (Support)	-17.7	<i>-</i> 11.6
Revised estimate for Other Support due to maturation of technical baseline, definition of customer requirements, and further definition of Service beddown plans (Engine Procurement, Navy). (Support)	-85.3	-96.7
Revised estimate for Initial Spares due to maturation of technical baseline, definition of customer requirements, and further definition of Service beddown plans (Engine Procurement, AF). (Support)	-1143.5	-1680.7
Revised estimate for Initial Spares due to maturation of technical baseline, definition of	-166.3	-236.3

customer requirements, and further definition of Service beddown plans (Engine Procurement, Navy). (Support)

Procurement Subtotal

-4078.3

-6343.6

#### Contracts

#### **General Notes**

The Israel System Development and Demonstration and F135 LRIP 7 contracts no longer meet the threshold for the six largest contracts.

#### Contract Identification

Appropriation:

**Procurement** 

**Contract Name:** 

F-35 LRIP 6

Contractor:

Lockheed Martin

**Contractor Location:** 

1 Lockheed Boulevard

Ft. Worth, TX 76108

Contract Number:

N00019-11-C-0083

Contract Type:

Fixed Price Incentive(Firm Target) (FPIF), Cost Plus Incentive Fee (CPIF)

Award Date: Definitization Date: December 28, 2012 September 27, 2013

1.4.4			Control of the	Contract Pri	ice		75
Initial Contract Price (\$M) Current Contract Price (\$M) Estimated Price At Completion (\$M)							
Target	Ceiling	Qty	Target	Ceiling	Qty	Contractor	Program Manager
4392.1	N/A	36	7233.6	N/A	36	7093.6	7233

## **Target Price Change Explanation**

The difference between the Initial Contract Price Target and the Current Contract Price Target is due to definitization of Production Non Recurring, Annualized Sustainment, Non-Annualized Sustainment, Depot, and Spares scope.

Contract Variance						
ltem	Cost Variance	Schedule Variance				
Cumulative Variances To Date (12/31/2015)	-131.2	-203.4				
Previous Cumulative Variances	-183.0	-193.0				
Net Change	+51.8	-10.4				

#### **Cost and Schedule Variance Explanations**

The favorable net change in the cost variance is due to sustainment supplier rate underruns, staffing shortfalls and material procurement efficiencies.

The unfavorable net change in the schedule variance is due to the remaining two Italian aircraft performing behind schedule and due to tooling delays.

#### Notes

Appropriation:

Procurement

Contract Name:

F135 LRIP 6

Contractor:

Pratt & Whitney

**Contractor Location:** 

400 Aircraft Road

Middletown, CT 06457

Contract Number:

N00019-12-C-0090

**Contract Type:** 

Fixed Price Incentive(Firm Target) (FPIF), Cost Plus Incentive Fee (CPIF)

Award Date:

January 06, 2012

**Definitization Date:** 

February 15, 2013

				Contract Pri	ce			
Initial Contract Price (\$M) Currer			Current Co	ntract Price (	\$M)	Estimated Price At Completion (\$M)		
Target	Ceiling	Qty	Target	Ceiling	Qty	Contractor	Program Manager	
1122.0	1128.8	38	1131.9	1139.0	38	1099.3	1091.6	

## Target Price Change Explanation

The difference between the Initial Contract Price Target and the Current Contract Price Target is due to the incorporaton of a contract modification that extended the period of performance for CLIN 12 Service Specific Site Activation and added some additional support equipment.

Contract Variance						
ltem	Cost Variance	Schedule Variance				
Cumulative Variances To Date (12/31/2015)	-10.9	-36.5				
Previous Cumulative Variances	-8.6	-31.0				
Net Change	-2.3	-5.5				

#### **Cost and Schedule Variance Explanations**

The unfavorable net change in the cost variance is due to general and administrative rate changes, additional costs with design changes with Low Observables Advanced Baseline Acceptance Radar Inspection System work and the Low Pressure Turbine Rotor hardware is over cost due to not enough cost reduction initiatives or engineering changes implemented or better pricing from suppliers to support the baseline cost targets.

The unfavorable net change in the schedule variance is due to to the Low Pressure Turbine and High Pressure Turbine casting tools are late and the initial spares deliveries are late as the contractor is managing the demand requirements and prioritizing the deliveries between the production requirements and spares.

#### Notes

The contract is a combination if Fixed Price Incentive Fee and Cost Plus Incentive Fee CLINs. For this reason, the overall contract type is mixed and there is not a true contract ceiling. This contract includes both engines and Sustainment work scope. All engines have been delivered and the remaining work is for tooling, support equipment and spare parts.

The Current Contract Ceiling Price is estimated based on the FPIF and CPIF CLINs.

Total Quantity includes aircraft engines and spare engines = 36 installs and two whole spares.

The earned value completion date and Contractor Performance Report reporting will be determined as the contract nears completion.

Pratt & Whitney's EVM System was decertified on September 30, 2013 due to sixteen significant deficiencies that affect four of the 32 EVMS Guidelines. In accordance with Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement, 5% of each request for payment is being withheld until all significant deficiencies have been corrected.

This contract is more than 90% complete; therefore, this is the final report for this contract.

Appropriation:

Procurement

Contract Name:

F-35 LRIP 7

Contractor:

Lockheed Martin

**Contractor Location:** 

1 Lockheed Boulevard

Ft. Worth, TX 76108

Contract Number:

N00019-12-C-0004

**Contract Type:** 

Fixed Price Incentive(Firm Target) (FPIF), Cost Plus Incentive Fee (CPIF)

Award Date:
Definitization Date:

September 27, 2013

September 27, 2013

	operator and the second primary and the second			Contract Pr	ice	and a second	
Initial Co	ntract Price (	\$M)	Current Co	ontract Price (	\$M)	Estimated Price	ce At Completion (\$M)
Target	Ceiling	Qty	Target	Ceiling	Qty	Contractor	Program Manager
4447.1	N/A	35	5640.4	N/A	35	5547.1	5640

## **Target Price Change Explanation**

The difference between the Initial Contract Price Target and the Current Contract Price Target is due to definitization of Tech Assist, Non-Annualized Sustainment and Depot scope.

Contract Variance						
İtem	Cost Variance	Schedule Variance				
Cumulative Variances To Date (12/31/2015)	-78.6	-84.8				
Previous Cumulative Variances	-10.0	-46.0				
Net Change	-68.6	-38.8				

## Cost and Schedule Variance Explanations

The unfavorable net change in the cost variance is due to Wing and Mate through Delivery due to part shortages driving outof-station work and labor inefficiencies. Unfavorable assembly cost performance is somewhat offset by Sustainment due to supplier rate underruns, staffing shortfalls and material procurement efficiencies.

The unfavorable net change in the schedule variance is due to multiple late Fire Control and Stores deliveries.

#### Notes

Appropriation:

Procurement

Contract Name:

F135 LRIP 8

Contractor:

Pratt & Whitney

**Contractor Location:** 

400 Aircraft Road

RAI-

Middletown, CT 06457

Contract Number:

N00019-13-C-0016

**Contract Type:** 

Fixed Price Incentive(Firm Target) (FPIF), Cost Plus Incentive Fee (CPIF)

Award Date:
Definitization Date:

August 27, 2013

October 30, 2014

				Contract Pri	ce		
Initial Contract Price (\$M) Current Contract Price (\$M)				\$M)	Estimated Price At Completion (\$M)		
Target	Ceiling	Qty	Target	Ceiling	Qty	Contractor	Program Manager
1009.1	1011.9	48	1185.1	1191.4	48	1141.7	1185

#### **Target Price Change Explanation**

The difference between the Initial Contract Price Target and the Current Contract Price Target is due to the incorporation of many contract modifications relating to tooling, Sustainment, extra long lead hardware options, operations and maintenance work scope and unit and depot support equipment.

Contract Variance						
Item	Cost Variance	Schedule Variance				
Cumulative Variances To Date (12/31/2015)	-68.9	-9.5				
Previous Cumulative Variances						
Net Change	-68.9	-9.5				

## **Cost and Schedule Variance Explanations**

The unfavorable cumulative cost variance is due to general & administrative rate changes, higher costs with the Fan Integrally Bladed Rotors and Externals Systems due to not enough cost reduction initiatives or engineering changes implemented or better pricing from suppliers to support the baseline cost targets.

The unfavorable cumulative schedule variance is due to Fan, Externals and Turbine Exhaust hardware delivering late to baseline plan due to quality issue.

UNCLASSIFIED 85

#### Notes

The contract is a combination if Fixed Price Incentive Fee, Cost Plus Incentive Fee and Firm Fixed Priced CLINs. For this reason, the overall contract type is mixed and there is not a true contract ceiling.

This contract includes both engines and Sustainment work scope. All engines have been delivered and the remaining work is for tooling, support equipment and spare parts.

The Current Contract Ceiling Price is estimated based on the FPIF and CPIF CLINs.

Total Quantity includes aircraft engines and spare engines = 45 installs and three whole spares.

The earned value completion date and Contractor Performance Report reporting will be determined as the contract nears completion. Pratt & Whitney's EVM System was decertified on September 30, 2013 due to sixteen significant deficiencies that affect four of the 32 EVMS Guidelines. In accordance with Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement, 5% of each request for payment is being withheld until all significant deficiencies have been corrected.

Appropriation:

**Procurement** 

Contract Name:

F-35 LRIP 8

Contractor:

Lockheed Martin

Contractor Location:

1 Lockheed Boulevard

Ft Worth, TX 76108

**Contract Number:** 

N00019-13-C-0008

Contract Type:

Fixed Price Incentive(Firm Target) (FPIF), Cost Plus Incentive Fee (CPIF)

Award Date:

February 28, 2013

**Definitization Date:** November 21, 2014

				Contract Pr	ice		
Initial Contract Price (\$M)			Current Co	ontract Price (	act Price (\$M) Estimated Price At Completion		e At Completion (\$M)
Target	Ceiling	Qty	Target	Ceiling	Qty	Contractor	Program Manager
5153.5	N/A	43	5171.3	N/A	43	5162.2	5171.3

## Target Price Change Explanation

The difference between the Initial Contract Price Target and the Current Contract Price Target is due to authorization of Reprogramming Center West Prime Mission Equipment.

Contract Variance						
Item	Cost Variance	Schedule Variance				
Cumulative Variances To Date (12/31/2015)	-65.0	-136.1				
Previous Cumulative Variances	••					
Net Change	-65.0	-136.1				

### **Cost and Schedule Variance Explanations**

The unfavorable cumulative cost variance is due to overruns within quality labor and material allocations. In addition, unfavorable performance is due to ongoing part shortages within Forward Fuselage and Wing driving out-of-station work and labor inefficiencies.

The unfavorable cumulative schedule variance is due to late spares and tool deliveries as well as assembly delays.

#### Notes

Appropriation:

Procurement

Contract Name:

FY15 Annualized Sustainment

Contractor:

Lockheed Martin

**Contractor Location:** 

1 Lockheed Boulevard

Ft Worth, TX 76108

**Contract Number:** 

N00019-15-C-0031

Contract Type:

Cost Plus Incentive Fee (CPIF)

Award Date: Definitization Date: October 28, 2014

n Date: November 01, 2014

				Contract Pri	ce		
Initial Co	ntract Price (	\$M)	Current Co	ntract Price (	\$M)	Estimated Pric	e At Completion (\$M)
Target	Ceiling	Qty	Target	Ceiling	Qty	Contractor	Program Manager
5153.5	N/A	43	5171.3	N/A	43	5162.2	5171

#### **Target Price Change Explanation**

The difference between the Initial Contract Price Target and the Current Contract Price Target is due to Delta driven by authorization of Reprogramming Center West Prime Mission Equipment.

Contract Variance						
ltem	Cost Variance	Schedule Variance				
Cumulative Variances To Date (12/31/2015)	-65.0	-136.1				
Previous Cumulative Variances		allo dia				
Net Change	-65.0	-136.1				

## Cost and Schedule Variance Explanations

The unfavorable cumulative cost variance is due to overruns within quality labor and material allocations. In addition, unfavorable performance is due to ongoing part shortages within Forward Fuselage and Wing driving out-of-station work and labor inefficiencies.

The unfavorable cumulative schedule variance is due to late spares and tool deliveries as well as assembly delays.

#### Notes

# **Deliveries and Expenditures**

## F-35 Aircraft

Deliveries							
Delivered to Date	Planned to Date	Actual to Date	Total Quantity	Percent Delivered			
Development	14	14	14	100.00%			
Production	148	146	2443	5.98%			
Total Program Quantity Delivered	162	160	2457	6.51%			

Expended and Appropriated (TY	(\$M)		
Total Acquisition Cost	318390.9	Years Appropriated	23
Expended to Date	66171.9	Percent Years Appropriated	51.11%
Percent Expended		Appropriated to Date	91294.7
Total Funding Years		Percent Appropriated	28.67%

The above data is current as of February 03, 2016.

Totals reflect U.S. aircraft only-no International Partner aircraft.

# F-35 Engine

Deliveries Deliveries Deliveries						
Delivered to Date	Planned to Date	Actual to Date	Total Quantity	Percent Delivered		
Development	14	14	14	100.00%		
Production	148	146	2443	5.98%		
Total Program Quantity Delivered	162	160	2457	6.51%		

Expended and Appropriated (TY	\$M)		
Total Acquisition Cost	60651.1	Years Appropriated	23
Expended to Date	17008.4	Percent Years Appropriated	51.11%
Percent Expended	28.04%	Appropriated to Date	19924.7
Total Funding Years	45	Percent Appropriated	32.85%

The above data is current as of February 03, 2016.

Engines planned and actual to date only include production installs.

# **Operating and Support Cost**

#### F-35 Aircraft

#### **Cost Estimate Details**

Date of Estimate:

March 07, 2016

Source of Estimate:

CAPE ICE

Quantity to Sustain:

2443

Unit of Measure:

Flying Hour

Service Life per Unit:

32.00 Years

Fiscal Years in Service:

FY 2011 - FY 2070

Quantity to Sustain 2443 does not include 14 development aircraft.

#### **Sustainment Strategy**

The F-35 Product Support Manager (PSM) has developed and is executing a Sustainment Strategy that is consistent with warfighter requirements, technical specifications, extant contracts, government policies, and best practices. The F-35 Sustainment Strategy expressly states that the F-35 Program will:

- Design, develop, deliver and sustain a single, integrated, and global system of sustainment products, processes, and business practices. These actions will enable the F-35 Air System to achieve a high degree of effectiveness at an affordable cost.
- Tailor the global system to meet warfighter-defined and PSM-supported readiness and cost objectives. This action will ensure that the global system is responsive and flexible as operational needs vary over time.
- Maintain life-cycle focus, including the reduction of costs. This action will provide critical affordability benefits and further supports a high degree of effectiveness as Air System maturity grows.
- Create a mutually-beneficial enterprise that with relevant metrics and incentives operates, manages, and supports the global system. This action further improves responsiveness and enhances affordability.
- Leverage the global resource base government and commercial to take advantage of stakeholder capabilities, human capital, best practices, and similar critical contributions. This action increases robustness and scalability as the F -35 fleet grows and matures.

#### Antecedent Information

The F-35 family of aircraft variants will replace the following current aircraft: F-16C/D, A-10, F/A-18C/D, and AV-8B. The F-35 O&S estimate is based on legacy fleet history only when F-35 specific data is not available.

Comparing the costs of the 5th Generation F-35 to legacy aircraft is challenging. The cost table above compares an adjusted F-16C/D Cost per Flying Hour (CPFH) to a forecast of the CPFH for the F-35A variant. The F-35A CPFH figure is based on the Conventional Takeoff and Landing (CTOL) variant only. The F-35A CTOL variant will make up the majority of the DoD F-35 aircraft procurement, accounting for 1,763 of 2,443 total aircraft currently planned for U.S. forces.

The F-16C/D CPFH figures were developed in a joint effort between CAPE and the Air Force Cost Analysis Agency. The

figures have been normalized for comparison to the F-35A CPFH forecast. The starting point for the F-16C/D CPFH is an average of actual cost incurred for this fleet during FY 2008 through FY 2010. In order to enable the direct comparison of the CPFH figures, the actual F-16C/D CPFH is adjusted to reflect the cost of fuel, the number of flight hours forecast for the F-35A, and FY 2013 inflation indices. The F-16C/D figures include costs that F-16 shares with other Air Force platforms: Systems Engineering/Program Management (SEPM), maintenance training costs, certain software development efforts, and information systems. Costs for mission planning are included in the F-35A CPFH figure, but equivalent costs for the F-16C/D are not available, and no adjustment was made for this element of cost. Finally, the F-16C/D figures assume full funding of requirements consistent with the F-35A CPFH figures.

	Annual O&S Costs BY2012 \$K	
Cost Element	F-35 Aircraft Average Annual Cost Per Flying Hour	F-16C/D (Antecedent) Cost Per Flying Hour (\$)
Unit-Level Manpower	8.470	10.042
Unit Operations	4.923	5.632
Maintenance	11.126	5.501
Sustaining Support	3.179	2.075
Continuing System Improvements	2.108	2.291
Indirect Support	0.000	0.000
Other	0.000	0.000
Total	29.806	25.541

The F-35A CTOL unitized cost figure shown in the table above decreased slightly relative to the comparable 2014 SAR figure. There are three considerations that result in a slight decrease for the F-35A unitized cost shown above: 1) a decrease in the assumed cost per gallon of JP-8 fuel; 2) a decrease in the fuel burn rate for the F-35A variant; and 3) a revised cost estimating relationship for hardware modifications.

Given the significant increase in military capabilities provided, it is reasonable to expect F-35A to cost more to operate and sustain than 4<sup>th</sup> generation legacy aircraft.

		Total O&S	Cost \$M		
Item	F-35 Air	craft			
	Current Development APB Objective/Threshold	' I II PORT ECTIONS		F-16C/D (Antecedent)	
Base Year	617000.0	678700.0	620805.4	N/A	
Then Year	1113272.6	N/A	1123844.0	N/A	

The Total O&S Cost figures above reflect the CAPE ICE estimate of O&S costs updated in 2015 in accordance with tasking from Congress. The O&S cost estimate includes all three U.S. aircraft variants, is based on a forecast 30-year service-life, and is based on planned usage rates provided by each relevant military service. The planned F-35 usage rates, in terms of aircraft flight hours per year, are as follows: F-35A CTOL @ 250 hrs./yr.; F-35B STOVL @ 302 hrs./yr.; and F-35C CV @ 316 hrs./yr. The total life-cycle cost estimate is not a simple extrapolation of the F-35A flying hour cost shown in the unitized O&S cost table above. Total O&S costs are updated using FY 2015 inflation indices, and include revised forecasts of labor escalation rates for military, civilian, and contractor personnel. A comparable total cost figure for the antecedent system (i.e., F-16C/D) is not available.

The 2015 CAPE estimate of F-35 total life cycle O&S costs incorporates updated information regarding several key cost

elements relative to the CAPE O&S cost estimate shown in the 2014 SAR. This includes updated fuel burn rates for all variants, a reduction in the assumed price per gallon of both JP-5 and JP-8, use of updated escalation forecasts for government personnel, a revised cost estimating relationship for hardware modifications, new Service bed down plans for all variants, and updated depot-level repairable (DLR) costs. The updated information results in increased cost forecasts for certain cost elements, and decreased cost forecasts for other elements. The 2015 CAPE total O&S estimate is approximately 3.8% higher (in BY 2012 \$) than the total O&S cost estimate in the 2014 SAR.

Not included in the 2015 CAPE estimate are the intermediate maintenance costs for the Marine Corps as observed with the operational squadron at Marine Corps Air Station Yuma. Although the program of record (POR) acknowledges only unit and depot levels of maintenance, it appears that the Department of Navy (DoN) is moving towards incorporating some form of intermediate maintenance for its squadrons. However, the DoN has not made the decision to change the POR at this point. While the extent of the additional maintenance level is currently unclear, a change in F-35 maintenance strategy appears to be likely for at least the DoN. CAPE recommends that the Services develop business case analyses to determine the impact of intermediate maintenance levels on the respective F-35 variants, in terms of both cost and readiness.

As in 2014, the CAPE O&S cost estimate incorporates actual information on component reliabilities obtained from the ongoing F-35 flight operations, including flight test and field operations. This program information is provided from the DoD test community, through Director, Operational Test and Evaluation, and includes actual reliability information on many F-35 components based on data collected during approximately 31,000 hours of flight operations. The data include all variants and flight operations through May 2015.

The reliability information has been compared to expected reliabilities for this stage of the program, for all variants, based on reliability growth curves. The 2015 CAPE O&S estimate continues to reflect the increased DLR costs present in the 2014 SAR estimate, because component reliability information obtained from actual flight operations data remains inconsistent with expectations.

CAPE will continue to work with the DoD operational test community to improve the processes and methods used to incorporate actual data and information on component reliabilities and removal rates, obtained from ongoing flight operations, into the CAPE life-cycle O&S cost estimate for the F-35 program. This information will be used, together with reliability improvement forecasts, to update the O&S cost estimates as the program proceeds to and beyond IOC. In the future, the use of actual flight operations information could result in substantial changes in forecasts of DLR costs in CAPE O&S estimates.

Affordability remains the F-35 program office number one priority. As such, the F-35 program team is focused on reducing sustainment costs across the program. The program continues to target O&S cost avoidance through the Cost War Room (CWR) and Reliability and Maintainability Improvement Program (RMIP). Concurrent to CWR activity, the program office has taken strides to transition from analogy and parametric estimating approaches toward contracted values to improve the O&S cost estimate's accuracy. As a result of CWR affordability initiatives, requirement refinement, and improved cost data quality, the program has reduced the program's annual cost per flight hour.

The 2015 O&S POE of \$579.1B BY 2012\$ (\$1.026 Trillion TY\$) has been updated to reflect the latest technical baseline for the program and incorporates revised stakeholder requirements. Primary updates to the 2015 POE include service requirements, JP-5 and JP-8 fuel prices and consumption, hardware modification, and government/contractor manpower. Note: values below in parentheses represent the change from the 2014 POE to the 2015 POE.

- U.S. Air Force extended the program's life cycle by six years. In total, DoD assumes an additional 1.6 million flight hour (11% increase) for F-35 operations (+\$54.3B CY 2012 \$)
- JP-5 and JP-8 fuel prices reflect Defense Logistics Agency catalog with consumption rates updated to reflect actuals (- \$24.6B CY 2012 \$)
- Hardware modifications removed costs associated with capability updates as stated in 2014 CAPE guidance (- \$14.9B CY 2012 \$)

- Government / contractor manpower underwent a thorough assessment based on current LRIP requirements (+\$3.7B CY 2012 \$)

The CAPE estimate incorporates the program office updates while adjusting reliability metrics and military personnel compensation real price change. The program office does not support the CAPE's use of actual reliability data from ongoing flight operations. The reliability data used in the CAPE estimate is based on a mix of aircraft configurations and represent only 9% of the hours required to reach Reliability and Maintainability maturity of the F-35 fleet. The CAPE estimate accounted for the real price change of military personnel compensation. The program office does not have a position on military personnel real price change and will incorporate once it becomes DoD guidance.

The F-35 PEO believes that the inherent differences between the F-35 and the F-16 estimates, such as mission planning costs being included in F-35 but not F-16 and the fact that the F-16 is a mature weapons system with many reliability and maintenance costs "leaned out" over the years, result in an overstating of the differences in cost per flying hour between the two. Regardless of the difference, the F-35 program office is committed to, and has enacted multiple programs to drive the O&S costs of the F-35 down.

#### **Equation to Translate Annual Cost to Total Cost**

The F-35 steady state cost per flying hour reflected in the annual O&S cost section does not easily translate to the Total O&S value for the program because the total O&S costs reflect costs for all three variants of the F-35 for the U.S. Air Force, U.S. Marine Corps, and U.S. Navy, whereas the CPFH reflects the U.S. Air Force F-35A only.

	O&S Cost	Variance		
Category	BY 2012 \$M	Change Explanations		
Prior SAR Total O&S Estimates - Dec 2015 SAR	597773.6			
Programmatic/Planning Factors	41742.1	Service beddown plans updated and CTOL Manpower Estimate Report revised.		
Cost Estimating Methodology	-21304.7	Hardware modification cost estimating relationship revised		
Cost Data Update	4768.5	Spare Parts Unit Database updated.		
Labor Rate	7369.2	2 Escalation rates revised and inflation guidance updated.		
Energy Rate	-30858.6	Revised JP-5/JP-8 costs per gallon.		
Technical Input	-5568.8	3 Increased fuel efficiency.		
Other	26884.1	Predicted cost per air vehicle induction increased and indirect costs revised.		
Total Changes	23031.8			
Current Estimate	620805.4			

#### **Disposal Estimate Details**

Date of Estimate:

Source of Estimate:

Disposal/Demilitarization Total Cost (BY 2012 \$M):

Program maturity is not at a point where disposal costs can be estimated within an acceptable margin of error.

# F-35 Engine

## **Cost Estimate Details**

Date of Estimate:

Source of Estimate:

**Quantity to Sustain:** 

Unit of Measure:

Service Life per Unit:

Fiscal Years in Service:

O&S costs for the engine subprogram are included in the overall program costs that are shown in the F-35 Aircraft subprogram.

# Sustainment Strategy

# **Antecedent Information**

Annual O&S Costs BY2012 \$K					
Cost Element	F-35 Engine	No Antecedent (Antecedent)			
Unit-Level Manpower	0.000	0.000			
Unit Operations	0.000	0.000			
Maintenance	0.000	0.000			
Sustaining Support	0.000	0.000			
Continuing System Improvements	0.000	0.000			
Indirect Support	0.000	0.000			
Other	0.000	0.000			
Total	••				

	Total O&S Cost \$M				
Item	F-35 Engine		No Antonodout		
item	Current Development APB Objective/Threshold		Current Estimate	No Antecedent (Antecedent)	
Base Year	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A
Then Year	N/A	N/A	N/A		0.0

	O&S Cost Variance	
Category	BY 2012 \$M	Change Explanations
Prior SAR Total O&S Estimates - Dec 2015 SAR	0.0	

Programmatic/Planning Factors	0.0	
Cost Estimating Methodology	0.0	
Cost Data Update	0.0	
Labor Rate	0.0	
Energy Rate	0.0	
Technical Input	0.0	
Other	0.0	
Total Changes	0.0	
Current Estimate	0.0	

# Disposal Estimate Details

Date of Estimate:

Source of Estimate:

Disposal/Demilitarization Total Cost (BY 2012 \$M):